

THE ATIYAH-PATODI-SINGER INDEX THEOREM FOR DIRAC OPERATORS OVER C^* -ALGEBRAS

CHARLOTTE WAHL

ABSTRACT. We prove an Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators twisted by C^* -vector bundles. We use it to derive a general product formula for η -forms and to define and study new ρ -invariants generalizing Lott's higher ρ -form. The higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem of Leichtnam-Piazza can be recovered by applying the theorem to Dirac operators twisted by the Mishenko-Fomenko bundle associated to the reduced C^* -algebra of the fundamental group.

INTRODUCTION

In noncommutative geometry a compact space X is generalized by a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. By applying a noncommutative concept to the commutative C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$ one recovers its classical counterpart. An $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundle on a Riemannian manifold M is a locally trivial bundle of projective $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Its classical counterpart is a (complex) vector bundle on $M \times X$. Thus the index theory of a Dirac operator on M twisted by an $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundle is a variant of family index theory, where the base space, encoded by $\mathcal{A}$, is noncommutative. If $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$, one obtains a Dirac operator on M twisted by a vector bundle on $M \times X$, which we can consider as a vertical operator on the fiber bundle $M \times X \rightarrow X$. In the realm of family index theory this situation is particularly simple since the fiber bundle is trivial and the metric on M does not depend on the parameter.

Using this correspondence the superconnection formalism has been applied Dirac operators over C^* -algebras in [Lo2][Lo3]. For the construction of a Bismut superconnection one needs that X is a smooth manifold. In the noncommutative case this is encoded in the choice of a projective system of Banach algebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$, and with injective structure maps whose images are closed under holomorphic functional calculus. By using the de Rham homology for algebras and the Chern character as defined in [K] from the K -theory of $\mathcal{A}$ to the de Rham homology of the projective limit $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ (which is assumed to be dense in $\mathcal{A}$) one can formulate for closed M an index theorem for Dirac operators twisted by $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundles in analogy to the Atiyah-Singer family index theorem. One gets numbers from the index theorem by pairing the de Rham homology classes with reduced cyclic cocycles.

By results of Lott [Lo3] the theorem can be proven by adapting the superconnection proof of Bismut, as given in [BGV]: While the construction of the heat semigroup is completely analogous to the construction in [BGV], the main difficulty lies in the study of the large time limit of the heat semigroup. In the classical case the exponential decay of the heat semigroup on the complement of the kernel of the

Dirac operator can be proven using the positivity of the Dirac operator on Hilbert space of L^2 -sections. Here however we deal with Banach spaces, namely subspaces of the $\mathcal{A}_i$ -module $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i)^n$. The crucial ingredient is a result in [Lo3, §6], which relates the spectrum of an operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{A}_i)$ on $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i)$ to the spectrum of the corresponding operator on the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $L^2(M, \mathcal{A})$. Then one uses that the spectrum of a holomorphic semigroup gives information on its large time behaviour.

Using Lott's methods one can also define noncommutative η -forms and formulate an Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators over C^* -algebras. The proof of this theorem is the main result of the present paper. (See Theorem 9.4 in the even case and Theorem 11.1 for the odd case. For the expressions used in its statement, we refer the reader to §1, where noncommutative de Rham homology is explained as needed here, and §2, where the geometric situation is introduced.) The proof is a generalization of the proof given in a rather special situation in [W1] and uses some of the concepts and results developed there.

One of the main motivations to study the index theory of Dirac operator twisted by C^* -vector bundles comes from higher index theory, pioneered by Connes-Moscovici with their higher index theorem and relevant in connection with the Novikov conjecture [CM]. Here the $\mathcal{A} = C_r^*\Gamma$ is the reduced group C^* -algebra of the fundamental group Γ of M and the $C_r^*\Gamma$ -vector bundle is the Mishenko-Fomenko bundle $\tilde{M} \times_\Gamma C_r^*\Gamma$. An appropriate system of Banach algebras can be gained from the work of Connes-Moscovici [CM]. Eta-forms and the superconnection formalism in higher index theory were introduced by Lott [Lo1][Lo2]. Leichtnam-Piazza proved a higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem [LP1][LP2][LP3, §4]. Many results from family and higher index theory carry directly over to our setting. So does part of the proof of the higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem [LP3, §4]. However the consideration of the large time limit there is based on the higher b -pseudodifferential calculus [LP1, §12], which exploits the special situation given in higher index theory.

In the remainder of this introduction we discuss in more detail our results and applications. The boundary conditions we consider are a generalization of those introduced by Melrose-Piazza [MP1][MP2] for families and in higher index theory by Leichtnam-Piazza, see [LP5]. They incorporate the product situations discussed in [W3]. The main application is an easy proof of a product formula for η -forms (see §10), which generalizes and unifies several formulas proven before [LP4, §2] [PS, Theorem 6.1][MP2, Lemma 6] (the latter when translated from family index theory to the present setting). It is used in the proof of the index theorem in the odd case. The approach should also work in family index theory. In our proof of the index theorem we follow a strategy of Bunke [BK][Bu], which is inspired by but more pedestrian than the proof based on Melrose's b -calculus [MP1]. It is also related to Müller's approach [Mü2], but differs in the treatment of the large time limit. In particular we study an index problem for a manifold M with cylindric ends. It is well-known that any Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary value problem can be translated into such a problem (see [W3, Prop. 2.1] for the result as needed here and references therein for the corresponding earlier results in higher and family index theory). As in the closed case the main difficulty lies in the study of the behaviour of the heat semigroup for large times. One important step is an extension of Lott's method mentioned above, see §13.1 and §13.2.

On the way we obtain a large time estimate (see §9.1) that seems to be new also in higher index theory (see [BK] for the family case). It allows to define noncommutative η -forms for invertible Dirac operators on manifolds with cylindric ends in analogy to Müller's construction in [Mül] and in the family case Bunke's definition in [Bu]. These are interesting in connection with the cut-and-paste problem of η -forms.

As a special case of our main result one obtains an Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators twisted by the Mishenko-Fomenko bundle $\tilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} C^*\Gamma$ associated to the maximal group C^* -algebra $C^*\Gamma$. This is relevant in connection with ρ -invariants, see [PS], where the zero degree part of the theorem was proven. In §12 we define new ρ -invariants, motivated by Lott's higher ρ -form [Lo1], and study their properties.

Our theorem can also be applied to flat foliated bundles: Let Γ act by diffeomorphisms on a closed manifold T and consider the reduced crossed product $C(T) \times_r \Gamma$. An appropriate projective system of subalgebras has been defined in [W2, §4.1]. An invariant vector bundle on $\tilde{M} \times T$ descends to a $C(T) \times_r \Gamma$ -vector bundle on M . Thus we get an index theorem for a Dirac operator on M twisted by such a bundle. In contrast to the Mishenko-Fomenko bundle this C^* -vector bundle is in general not flat. The resulting theorem should be compared with the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for étale groupoids by Leichtnam-Piazza [LP6], which applies to more general foliated bundles under some additional assumptions, in particular that Γ is virtually nilpotent and the boundary operator invertible. It would be interesting to find a strategy for the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theory for foliated bundles which at the same time overcomes the restrictions of the present method (the special geometric situation) and those of [LP6] (in particular the condition on the group).

Conventions. All tensor products are graded and completed. When dealing with Hilbert C^* -modules they are tensor products of Hilbert C^* -modules, else they are projective tensor products (sometimes for clarity denoted by $\otimes_{\pi}$). All commutators are graded. We use the following convention concerning L^2 -spaces of Banach algebra valued functions: We use the Hilbert C^* -module completion if the Banach algebra is a C^* -algebra. The completion is given by the norm $\|f\| = |\int f(x)^* f(x) dx|^{1/2}$. (Here and in general in this paper $|\cdot|$ also denotes a norm.) In all other cases the completion is with respect to the norm $\|f\| = (\int |f(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2}$. An analogous convention holds for L^2 -spaces of sections of bundles whose fibres are projective modules over a Banach resp. C^* -algebra.

In general, notation and conventions are as in [W1]. We refer to [W1, Ch. 5] for the notions of Hilbert-Schmidt and trace class operators and results guaranteeing that for our purposes they behave as in the classical case.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Conventions	3
1. Differential algebras, connections and Chern character	5

1.1. Differential algebras and de Rham homology	5
1.2. Connections and Chern character	5
1.3. Projective systems	7
2. Dirac operators over C^* -algebras	8
3. Fredholm properties	9
4. Heat semigroup and superconnection for a closed manifold	12
4.1. Heat semigroup and resolvents	12
4.2. Heat kernel for the superconnection	16
4.3. Rescaled superconnection and limit for $t \rightarrow 0$	17
5. The η -form	20
6. Heat semigroup and superconnection on the cylinder	23
6.1. Resolvents and heat kernel	23
6.2. The superconnection	26
7. Resolvents and heat semigroup on M	27
7.1. The resolvents	28
7.2. The heat semigroup	30
7.3. The heat kernel	32
8. The semigroup associated to the superconnection	33
9. The index theorem	37
9.1. The limit $t \rightarrow \infty$	38
9.2. Variation formulas	39
9.3. The theorem	42
10. Product formula for η -forms	43
11. The odd index theorem	45
12. Application: ρ -invariants	47
12.1. ρ -invariants for the Dirac operator on manifolds with positive scalar curvature	47
12.2. ρ -invariants for the signature operator	49
13. Appendix: Operators on Banach spaces	50
13.1. Projective systems and resolvent sets	50
13.2. Parameter dependent parametrices	51
13.3. Holomorphic semigroups	53
References	55

1. DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAS, CONNECTIONS AND CHERN CHARACTER

1.1. Differential algebras and de Rham homology. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a involutive unital locally m -convex Fréchet algebra [Ma] which is in addition a local Banach algebra [B]. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded universal differential algebra is defined as $\hat{\Omega}_*\mathcal{B} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Omega}_k\mathcal{B}$ with $\hat{\Omega}_k\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B} \otimes (\mathcal{B}/\mathbb{C})^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with differential d of degree one given by $d(b_0 \otimes b_1 \dots \otimes b_k) = 1 \otimes b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k$ and linear extension. The product is determined by $b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_k = b_0 d b_1 d \dots b_k$, the graded Leibniz rule and $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity. Differential and product are continuous.

The involution on $\mathcal{B} = \hat{\Omega}_0\mathcal{B}$ extends uniquely to an involution on $\hat{\Omega}_*\mathcal{B}$ fulfilling $(\alpha\beta)^* = \beta^*\alpha^*$.

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \hat{\Omega}_*\mathcal{B}$ be a closed homogeneous involutive ideal, closed under d . Then $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B} := \hat{\Omega}_*\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$ inherits the structure of an involutive differential algebra.

The de Rham homology $H_*^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{B})$ is the topological homology of the complex $(\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}/[\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}], d)$, “topological” meaning that the closure of the range of d is divided out such that $H_*^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{B})$ is a Hausdorff space.

Let V be a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{B}$ -module.

Let V^* be the left $\mathcal{B}$ -module of all right $\mathcal{B}$ -module maps $s : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. If $V \subset \mathcal{B}^k$ we define for $s \in V$

$$s^* := \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^* e_i^* \in V^*,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$, furthermore $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_k^*\}$ is its dual basis and $s = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i e_i$.

We also define the right $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}$ -module $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V := V \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}$ and the left $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}$ -module $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V^* = \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} V^*$. The algebra $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V \otimes_{\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V^*$ equals the algebra of $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}$ -linear homomorphisms on $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V$. It is endowed with a supertrace

$$\text{tr} : \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V \otimes_{\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}V^* \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}/[\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}],$$

which can be understood, for example, as induced by the supertrace

$$\text{tr} : M_k(\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}/[\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}]$$

defined by adding up the elements on the diagonal.

1.2. Connections and Chern character. In the following we study the product of a manifold with the “noncommutative space” $\mathcal{B}$. This can be considered as a special case of the previous situation applied to the algebra $C^\infty(M, \mathcal{B})$. However will use a different notation, since we want to keep the standard geometric notation as far as possible.

Let M be a manifold and Λ^*T^*M the bundle of differential forms. The de Rham differential d and differential d make $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B})$ a double complex. As usual, the differential d_{tot} of the total complex acts on a form $\alpha\beta$ with $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^m T^*M)$ and $\beta \in \hat{\Omega}_k^{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{B}$ as

$$d_{\text{tot}}(\alpha\beta) = (d + d)\alpha\beta = (d\alpha)\beta + (-1)^m \alpha(d\beta).$$

The topological homology of the total complex $H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I}}^*(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$\sum_{p+q=*} H^p(M, H_q^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{B})) .$$

Furthermore for M closed

$$H^p(M, H_q^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{B})) \cong H^p(M) \otimes H_q^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{B}) .$$

Let $P \in C^\infty(M, M_k(\mathcal{B}))$ be a (selfadjoint) projection and let $\mathcal{F} := P(M \times \mathcal{B})$. We call $\mathcal{F}$ a $\mathcal{B}$ -vector bundle, since its fibers are right projective finitely generated $\mathcal{B}$ -modules. The bundle $\mathcal{F}$ is endowed with a fibrewise $\mathcal{B}$ -valued non-degenerated product (see [W1, Def. 5.27] for the terminology)

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^* t_i .$$

Therefore we call $\mathcal{F}$ a $\mathcal{B}$ -hermitian bundle.

We have the bundles $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{B} = P(M \times (\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{B})^p)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{F}^* = \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}^*$. The fibers are right resp. left $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{B}$ -modules. We identify the bundle of Banach algebras $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{F}_i^*$ with $\{s \in M \times M_p(\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i) \mid P s P = s\}$.

A connection on $\mathcal{F}$ in direction of M is a $\mathcal{B}$ -linear map $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ on $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathcal{F})$ such that for $s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{B})$

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(s\alpha) = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(s)f + sdf .$$

It extends to a map on $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F})$ such that for $s \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^m T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F})$ and $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B})$

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(s\alpha) = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}(s)\alpha + (-1)^m s d\alpha .$$

A connection on $\mathcal{F}$ in direction of $\mathcal{B}$ is a $C^\infty(M)$ -linear map $d_{\mathcal{F}} : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \hat{\Omega}_1^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F})$ such for $s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{B})$

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(sf) = d_{\mathcal{F}}(s)f + s d(f) .$$

It extends to a map on $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F})$ such that for $s \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_m^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F})$ and $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B})$

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(s\alpha) = d_{\mathcal{F}}(s)\alpha + (-1)^m s d\alpha .$$

We call $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}}$ a total connection on $\mathcal{F}$.

A useful example is the total Grassmannian connection $PdP + P dP$.

As in the classical case one has:

- Lemma 1.1.** (1) Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}}$ be connections on $\mathcal{F}$ in direction of M . Then $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}} \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^1 T^* M \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}^*)$.
- (2) Let $d_{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}}$ be connections on $\mathcal{F}$ in direction of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $d_{\mathcal{F}} - \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}} \in C^\infty(M, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}^*)$.
- (3) The curvature $(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}})^2$ is an element of $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B}} \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}^*)$ of total degree 2.

Proof. It is enough to show that the differences and the curvature are $C^\infty(M, \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{B})$ -linear. For the differences this is straightforward, for the curvature it follows from a little calculation, see §4.3 for a similar calculation. $\square$

The Chern character form is defined as

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{B}}^M(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}}) := \text{tr} e^{-(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}})^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \text{tr}(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}})^{2n}.$$

Mostly, we will write $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F})$, thus suppressing the choice of the connection from the notation.

Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}, d_{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}}$ be as is the statement of the previous lemma and define the linear interpolations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{\mathcal{F},t} &:= (1-t)\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + t\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}}, \\ d_{\mathcal{F}}^t &:= (1-t)d_{\mathcal{F}} + t\tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the classical transgression formula, as given for example in [BGV, §1.5], works here also, yielding

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{B}}^M(\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}} + \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}}) - \text{ch}_{\mathcal{B}}^M(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + d_{\mathcal{F}}) = d_{\text{tot}} \int_0^1 \text{tr}((\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{F}} - \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{F}} - d_{\mathcal{F}}) e^{-(\nabla^{\mathcal{F},t} + d_{\mathcal{F}}^t)^2}) dt.$$

The Chern character form is closed with respect to d_{tot} : This follows from the equality

$$d_{\text{tot}} \text{ch}(PdP + P d P) = \text{tr}[PdP + P d P, e^{-(PdP + P d P)^2}] = 0$$

in combination with the transgression formula.

If M is closed, its class in $H_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{I}}^*(M)$ does only depend on the class of $\mathcal{F}$ in $K_0(C(M, \mathcal{B}))$ and is called the Chern character of $\mathcal{F}$.

The connection $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ resp. $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is called compatible with the $\mathcal{B}$ -hermitian structure if for $s_1, s_2 \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F})$

$$d\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \langle d_{\mathcal{F}} s_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle s_1, d_{\mathcal{F}} s_2 \rangle$$

resp.

$$d\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \langle \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} s_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle s_1, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} s_2 \rangle.$$

In the following, if M is a Riemannian manifold we introduce for $s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F})$ the operator

$$s^* : C^\infty(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad f \mapsto \int_M \langle s(x), f(x) \rangle dx.$$

1.3. Projective systems. In general, we will work with projective systems of algebras:

We assume that $(\mathcal{A}_i, \iota_{i+1,i} : \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a projective system of involutive Banach algebras with unit satisfying the following conditions:

- The algebra $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_0$ is a C^* -algebra.
- For any $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the map $\iota_{i+1,i} : \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$ is injective.
- For any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the map $\iota_j : \mathcal{A}_\infty := \varprojlim_i \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j$ has dense range.

- For any $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the algebra $\mathcal{A}_i$ is stable with respect to the holomorphic functional calculus in $\mathcal{A}$.

The motivating example is $\mathcal{A}_j = C^j(B)$ for a closed manifold B . Another important example stems from the Connes-Moscovici algebra in higher index theory.

Furthermore we assume that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is given a closed homogenous involutive ideal $\mathcal{I}_i \subset \mathcal{A}_i$, closed under d , such that $\iota_{i+1,i}(\mathcal{I}_{i+1})$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{I}_i$. We denote its limit by $\mathcal{I}_\infty$.

Then Lemmata [W1, 1.3.6-1.3.8] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_i \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i &= \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty , \\ \varprojlim_i \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i / [\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i] &= \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i] , \\ \varprojlim_i H_*^{\mathcal{I}_i}(\mathcal{A}_i) &= H_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty) . \end{aligned}$$

For simplification, we will in general omit the dependence on the ideal from the notation.

2. DIRAC OPERATORS OVER C^* -ALGEBRAS

Let M be an oriented Riemannian manifold of even dimension with a cylindric end Z^+ isometric to $(-1, \infty) \times N$ for some closed Riemannian manifold N such that $M_c := M \setminus Z^+$ is a compact manifold with boundary N . We orient N such that the isometry $Z^+ \cong (-1, \infty) \times N$ is orientation preserving. The coordinate defined by the projection $p : Z^+ \rightarrow (-1, \infty)$ is denoted by x_1 . Furthermore we write $Z = \mathbb{R} \times N$. We identify N with $\{0\} \times N$. We denote the Levi-Civita connection of M by ∇^M .

Let E be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Clifford module on M and assume that there is a vector bundle E^N on N such that $E|_{Z^+} = p^* E^N \otimes (\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^-)$. Assume that the hermitian structure of E is of product type on Z^+ that the Clifford module structure on Z^+ extends to a translation invariant Clifford module structure on the bundle $p^* E^N \otimes (\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^-)$ over Z .

We further twist E with a C^* -vector bundle:

Let $P \in C^\infty(M, M_p(\mathcal{A}_\infty))$ be a selfadjoint projection such that $P|_{Z^+}$ does not depend on x_1 and let $\mathcal{F}_i = P(M \times \mathcal{A}_i^p)$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. We get a projective system of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Clifford modules

$$\mathcal{E}_i := E \otimes \mathcal{F}_i .$$

The bundles $\mathcal{F}_i$ inherit a $\mathcal{A}_i$ -hermitian structure from the standard $\mathcal{A}_i$ -valued scalar product on $\mathcal{A}_i^p$ (see §1).

In general we abbreviate $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0$.

Note that, by tensoring with the identity, $P dP$ defines a connection on $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. Let $P dP + \gamma$ be a connection on $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ compatible with the $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ -hermitian structure. Thus $\gamma = -\gamma^*$. We assume that γ supercommutes with Clifford multiplication and that $\gamma|_{Z^+}$ is independent of x_1 . Clearly $P dP + \gamma$ defines also a connection on $\mathcal{E}_i$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_i$.

Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ be a Clifford connection on $\mathcal{E}$ (i.e. a connection in direction of M compatible with the $\mathcal{A}$ -valued scalar product and fulfilling $c(\nabla^M v) = [\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, c(v)]$) that is of product type on Z^+ and let $\not{D}_{\mathcal{E}} =: c \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ be the associated Dirac operator.

Let ∇^E be a Clifford connection on E . The Dirac operator $c \circ \nabla^E$ on $C_c^\infty(M, E \otimes \mathcal{A}^p)$ is denoted by $\not{D}_E$. Then $\not{D}_{\mathcal{E}} - P \not{D}_E P$ is a bundle endomorphism.

We identify E^N with $E^+|_N$ and write $\mathcal{F}^N := \mathcal{F}|_N$ and $\mathcal{E}^N := \mathcal{E}^+|_N$. Hence $\mathcal{E}^N = E^N \otimes \mathcal{F}^N$. The induced Clifford algebra structure on $\mathcal{E}^N$ is given by $c_N(v) := c(dx_1)c(v)$ for $v \in TN \subset TM$. We denote the Dirac operator associated to $\mathcal{E}^N$ by $\not{D}_N$. By identifying $\mathcal{E}^+$ with $\mathcal{E}^-$ via $ic(dx_1)$ we get an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}|_{Z^+} \cong (\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^-) \otimes (p^* \mathcal{E}^N) .$$

Let Γ denote the grading operator on $\mathcal{E}$. On the cylindric end

$$\not{D}_{\mathcal{E}} = c(dx_1)(\partial_1 - \Gamma \not{D}_N) .$$

For an operator B acting on the sections of $\mathcal{E}^N$ we write $\tilde{B}$ for the induced operator ΓB acting on the sections of $\mathcal{E}|_{Z^+}$. Note that $c(dx_1)\tilde{B} + \tilde{B}c(dx_1) = 0$.

The proofs of the following facts are as in the complex case. We use the notation of [BGV, Prop. 3.43]. By Δ we denote the scalar Laplacian.

The curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ decomposes as a sum

$$(\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})^2 = R^{\mathcal{E}} + F^{\mathcal{E}/S}$$

where $F^{\mathcal{E}/S}$ is the relative curvature of the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and $R^{\mathcal{E}}$ is Riemannian curvature, which acts on $\mathcal{E}$. The operator $\not{D}_{\mathcal{E}}^2$ is a generalized Laplacian. Define for $s \in C^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{E}} s = -\text{Tr}(\nabla^{T^*M \otimes \mathcal{E}} \nabla^{\mathcal{E}} s) .$$

We have the following analogue of Lichnerowicz formula:

$$\not{D}_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \Delta^{\mathcal{E}} + c(F^{\mathcal{E}/S}) + \frac{r_M}{4}$$

where r_M is the scalar curvature of M and for $X \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$

$$c(X) := \sum_{i < j} X(e_i, e_j) c(e_i) c(e_j) \in C^\infty(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) .$$

A similar formula yields a map $c : \Omega^n(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$.

3. FREDHOLM PROPERTIES

Recall that a closed densely defined operator D on a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module H is called regular if $(1 + D^*D)$ is surjective. Furthermore we call a regular operator D Fredholm if it is Fredholm as a bounded operator from $H(D)$ to H , where $H(D)$ is the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module that coincides with $\text{dom } D$ as a right $\mathcal{A}$ -module and is endowed with the $\mathcal{A}$ -valued scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H(D)} := \langle Df, Dg \rangle + \langle f, g \rangle .$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the $\mathcal{A}$ -valued scalar product on H .

In the following we denote by $B(H)$ the algebra of bounded adjointable operators and by $K(H)$ the ideal of compact operators.

Proposition 3.1. *The closure $\mathcal{D}_E$ of the operator $\not{D}_E$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M, \mathcal{E})$ is regular on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. The closure of $\not{D}_E$ is clearly regular on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathcal{A}^p)$, hence so is the closure $\mathcal{D}_E$ of $\not{D}_E$ since the operator $\not{D}_E + (1 - P)\not{D}_E(1 - P)$ on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathcal{A}^p)$ is a bounded perturbation of $\not{D}_E$. $\square$

By a similar argument the closure $\mathcal{D}_N$ of $\not{D}_N$ with domain $C^\infty(N, \mathcal{E}^N)$ is regular.

The following definition goes back to [MP1].

Definition 3.2. *A selfadjoint bounded operator A on $L^2(N, \mathcal{E}^N)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_N + A$ is invertible, is called a trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$.*

The following definition anticipates the existence of the heat semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{D}_E^2}$, which is proven in §4.1.

Note that taking the adjoint need not be continuous on the space of bounded operators on $L^2(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N)$.

Definition 3.3. *A selfadjoint operator $\mathfrak{A}$ on $L^2(N, \mathcal{E}^N)$ is called adapted to $\mathcal{D}_N$ if the following holds on $L^2(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N)$ and on $C^k(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N)$ for any μ, i, k :*

- (1) *The operator $\mathfrak{A}$ is densely defined (by restriction and $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ -linear extension) and bounded and there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t < 1$ and $B = \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_E + \mathcal{D}_E\mathfrak{A}$*

$$\|Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_N^2}\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}, \quad \|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_N^2}B\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}.$$

- (2) *The operator $[P \mathfrak{d} P, \mathfrak{A}]$ is $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ -linear.*

For example, a selfadjoint integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(N \times N, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ is adapted to $\mathcal{D}_N$.

The existence of trivializing operators for Dirac operators associated to C^* -vector bundles was claimed in [LP5], see the Remark at the beginning of [LP5, §2.4]. However, the Technical Lemma in [LP5, §2.2] seems to require that the fibers of the $\mathcal{A}$ -hermitian $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundle are full Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. This is no major restriction since one may modify the problem by a stabilization construction, such that the condition is fulfilled.

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(\chi) \subset [1, \infty)$ and $\chi|_{[2, \infty)} = 1$. We consider χ as a function on Z^+ , which we extend by zero to M . Let A be a trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$.

Proposition 3.4. *The closure $\mathcal{D}_E(A)$ of the operator $\not{D}_E - \chi c(dx_1)\tilde{A}$ is a Fredholm operator from $H(\mathcal{D}_E(A))$ to $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. First note that any integral operator with integral kernel in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^*)$ is in $K(L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$ and in $K(H(\mathcal{D}_E(A)))$. By standard cutting-and-pasting methods an operator $Q \in B(L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$ that is also continuous from $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ to $H(\mathcal{D}_E(A))$ can be constructed such that $\mathcal{D}_E(A)Q - 1$ and $Q\mathcal{D}_E(A) - 1$ are integral operators with integral kernel in $\mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^*)$. (The

operator $Q(0)$ defined in §7.1 works.) The operator Q is automatically adjointable from $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ to $H(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + i)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + i)Q \\ &= (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + i)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)Q - 1) + (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + i)^{-1}(1 + iQ) . \end{aligned}$$

□

By a homotopy argument the index does not depend on χ . Furthermore it is invariant under small perturbations of A .

As usual, we write

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^- \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $L^2(M, \mathcal{E}) = L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^+) \oplus L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-)$.

In the following we define a perturbation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ with closed range by adapting a construction of Atiyah and Singer, see [BGV, Ch. 9.5]. Recall that the index of a Fredholm operator with closed range equals the difference of the classes of its kernel and cokernel.

Proposition 3.5. *There is a finite subset $\{f_1, \dots, f_{\mathcal{N}}\}$ of $C_c^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}_\infty^-)$ generating a projective $\mathcal{A}$ -module Q such that $Q + \text{Ran } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+ = L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+$ is a Fredholm operator, there is a projective module $\tilde{Q} \subset L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-)$ such that $\tilde{Q} + \text{Ran } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+ = L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-)$. Let $\tilde{P} : L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ be the (orthogonal) projection onto $\tilde{Q}$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(M, E \otimes \mathbb{C}^p)$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathcal{A}^p)$ and for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let P_j be the orthogonal projection onto the span of the first j basis vectors. We extend $\tilde{P}$ by zero to a projection on $L^2(M, E \otimes \mathcal{A}^p)$. There is $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{P}|_{P_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{Q})} : P_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ is an isomorphism and such that $P_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{Q}) + \text{Ran } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+ = L^2(M, \mathcal{E}^-)$ (see [W1, Prop. 5.1.21]). Since $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}P$, it follows that $\tilde{P} : PP_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ is an isomorphism. Thus the set $\{f_i := Pe_i \mid i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}\}$ fulfills the conditions. □

Now the perturbation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+$ is defined as follows: Let M' be the disjoint union of M and a point. We define the $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundles $(\mathcal{E}')^+ = \mathcal{E}^+ \cup \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{N}}$ with $\mathcal{N}$ as in the proposition and $(\mathcal{E}')^- = \mathcal{E}^- \cup \{0\}$. Furthermore we define E' on M' by $E'|_M = E$ and $E'(*) = (\mathbb{C}^+)^{\mathcal{N}}$, and P' by $P'|_M = P$ and such that $P'(*)$ is a projection of rank one in $M_p(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{E}' = E' \otimes P'(M' \times \mathcal{A}^p)$. We extend the noncommutative one-form γ by zero to M' .

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \mathcal{N}}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}}$. We set

$$\mathfrak{K}^+ := \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} f_i e_i^* : L^2(M', \mathcal{E}'^+) \rightarrow L^2(M', \mathcal{E}'^-)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}^- := (\mathfrak{K}^+)^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} e_i f_i^* : L^2(M', \mathcal{E}'^-) \rightarrow L^2(M', \mathcal{E}'^+) .$$

Then $\mathfrak{K} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{K}^- \\ \mathfrak{K}^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a selfadjoint and compact operator on $L^2(M', \mathcal{E}')$.

For any $\rho \neq 0$ the operator $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + \rho \mathfrak{K})^+$ is surjective and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+ &= \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + \rho \mathfrak{K})^+ - [\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{N}}] \\ &= [\text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) + \rho \mathfrak{K})] - [\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{N}}] . \end{aligned}$$

For later purposes we note that we may enlarge $\mathcal{N}$ without changing the operator by setting $f_i = 0$ for i large.

In the following we drop the indices and write $\mathcal{E}$ and M respectively for $\mathcal{E}'$ and M' etc.

4. HEAT SEMIGROUP AND SUPERCONNECTION FOR A CLOSED MANIFOLD

In preparation for the general case we restrict our attention to the case where M is closed. In this section M may be odd-dimensional, then the bundle E is assumed ungraded. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a symmetric operator on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ that is adapted to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (see Def. 3.3). Then $r\mathfrak{A}$ is also adapted for $r \in [-1, 1]$. We study the properties of $\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A}$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

In general we demand that operators on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ resp. $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ have $C^\infty(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ as a core of their domain. The operators considered here are closable on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ by [W1, Lemma 5.1.10] since they have a densely defined adjoint. We define $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^m$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}$ as the closure of the operator $(\partial_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^m$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, thus we first take powers, then closures.

We also consider the family $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A}$ as an operators on $C([-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ resp. $C([-1, 1], C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$.

4.1. Heat semigroup and resolvents.

Proposition 4.1. (1) *The family $-(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on $C([-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ and on $C([-1, 1], C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$.*

(2) *For $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel $k(r)_t \in C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ depending smoothly on (t, r) .*

(3) *Let $m > \frac{\dim M + k}{2}$. There is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$*

$$\|k(r)_t\| \leq Ct^{-m} , \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} k(r)_t \right\| \leq Ct^{-m}$$

in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^)$.*

(4) *Let B be a first order differential operator resp. $B = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}$. There is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$*

$$\|Be^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2}\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} , \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} Be^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} \right\| \leq C ,$$

$$\|e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} B\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} , \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} B \right\| \leq C$$

on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Furthermore for $B = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}$ these estimates hold also on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

- (5) Let $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$ with disjoint support. Assume that there is a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(M)$ with $\xi_1 = \phi$, $\text{supp}(1 - \xi_{n+1}) \cap \text{supp} \xi_n = \emptyset$, $\text{supp} \xi_n \cap \text{supp} \psi = \emptyset$ and such that $[\xi_n, \mathfrak{A}]$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$. Then for $|r|, t \leq 1$

$$\|\phi(x)k(r)_t(x, y)\psi(y)\| \leq Ct, \quad \|\phi(x)\frac{d}{dr}k(r)_t(x, y)\psi(y)\| \leq Ct$$

in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$.

Proof. For $t > 0$ the integral kernel $k_t(x, y)$ associated of $e^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}$ can be constructed as in [BGV, Ch. 2]. Then one shows as in [W1, §3] that the operator $-\partial_\mathcal{E}^2$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and a holomorphic semigroup on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Assume that B is a first order differential operator. Then on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ one get as in [W1, §3] the estimates $\|Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$ and $\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}B\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$ for small t .

It follows from the asymptotics of the heat kernel by using that $(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2)_x k_t(x, y) = \frac{d}{dt}k_t(x, y)$ that on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$

$$\|\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2 e^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}\| \leq Ct^{-1}$$

for small $t > 0$. Thus, by Prop. 13.6 the semigroup extends to a holomorphic semigroup on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. For $r = 0$ the first estimate of (3) is [BGV, Lemma 2.39].

(1) follows now from Prop. 13.10.

We use Volterra development in order to prove (2) and (3). We set $R := (\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2$. Since $r\mathfrak{A}$ is adapted to $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}$ there is $C > 0$ such that $\|Re^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$ and $\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}R\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$ for $t \leq 1$, $|r| \leq 1$.

The series

$$e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2 + R)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t^n S_n(t)$$

with

$$S_n(t) = \int_{\Delta_n} e^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2} R e^{-u_1 t \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2} \dots R e^{-u_n t \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2} du_0 \dots du_n$$

converges for all $t \geq 0$ uniformly in $r \in [-1, 1]$.

By [BGV, Lemma 2.39] the integral kernel of $e^{-t\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}$ is bounded in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ by Ct^{-m} for $m > \frac{\dim M + k}{2}$ and $t, |r| \leq 1$. By a standard argument (see [BGV, Theorem 9.48]), which uses that for $(u_0, \dots, u_n) \in \Delta_n$ there is $u_i > \frac{1}{n}$, the operator $S_n(t)$ is an integral operator and there is $C > 0$, independent of n , such that for $t, |r| \leq 1$ its integral kernel is bounded by $C^n \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{-m} t^{-n/2}$ in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$. Similar estimates hold for the derivatives with respect to r and t . It follows that the series of integral kernels converges in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ for $t \leq 1$ and that the limit depends smoothly on r, t . Estimate (3) also follows. For $t > 1$ the integral kernel is constructed using the semigroup law.

(4) The estimates also follow from the Volterra development: One interchanges the operator B with the summation and integration and uses that $\|Be^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}\| \leq C(u_0 t)^{-1/2}$ and $\|e^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}^2}B\| \leq C(u_0 t)^{-1/2}$ for t small.

(5) The integral kernel of $\xi_m S_0(t)\psi$ is bounded by Ct in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by [BGV, Theorem 2.30]. We show by induction that the integral kernel of $\phi S_n(t)\psi$ converges in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $r \in [-1, 1]$. By the estimate of the integral kernel of $S_n(t)$ from above we need to prove this only for finitely many n . Then the assertion follows.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_m S_n(t)\psi &= \int_0^1 (1-u_0)^{n-1} \xi_m S_0(u_0 t) R \xi_{m+2} S_{n-1}((1-u_0)t)\psi \, du_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-u_0)^{n-1} \xi_m S_0(u_0 t) R(1-\xi_{m+2}) S_{n-1}((1-u_0)t)\psi \, du_0 . \end{aligned}$$

The integral kernel of the first term on the right hand side has a limit for $t \rightarrow 0$, since the integral kernel of $\xi_{m+1} S_{n-1}((1-u_0)t)\psi$ has one by induction.

We denote by $\sim$ equality up to integral operators with smooth integral kernels that have a limit for $t \rightarrow 0$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} [R, \xi_{m+2}] &= r[\mathfrak{A} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{A} + r \mathfrak{A}^2, \xi_{m+2}] \\ &= r([\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{m+2}] \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + \mathfrak{A}(1-\xi_{m+1})c(d\xi_{m+2}) + c(d\xi_{m+2})\mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}[\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{m+2}] + r \mathfrak{A}^2) \\ &\sim r(1-\xi_{m+1})(\mathfrak{A}c(d\xi_{m+2}) + c(d\xi_{m+2})\mathfrak{A}) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi_m S_0(u_0 t) R(1-\xi_{m+2}) \\ &\sim r \xi_m S_0(u_0 t) (1-\xi_{m+1}) ((1-\xi_{m+2})R + \mathfrak{A}c(d\xi_{m+2}) + c(d\xi_{m+2})\mathfrak{A}) \\ &\sim 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus also the smooth integral kernel of the second term has a limit for $t \rightarrow 0$.

The proof for the derivative with respect to r is analogous. $\square$

We collect some further estimates, in particular concerning the large time behaviour of the heat semigroup.

Since $e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r \mathfrak{A})^2}$ is an integral operator with smooth integral kernel, its spectral radius on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ smaller or equal to 1, see Prop. 13.1. Thus the spectral radius of $e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r \mathfrak{A})^2}$ on $C([-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ is smaller or equal to 1. From Prop. 13.9 we infer that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for all t and $r \in [-1, 1]$

$$(4.1) \quad \|e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r \mathfrak{A})^2}\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} .$$

From

$$k(r)_t(x, y) = \int_M k(r)_{1/2}(x, z) (e^{-(t-1)(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}} + r \mathfrak{A})^2} k(r)_{1/2}(\cdot, y))(z) \, dz$$

it follows that there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > 1$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$ in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$

$$(4.2) \quad \|k(r)_t\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} .$$

This in turn implies that the inequality 4.1 holds also on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

Furthermore by Duhamel's formula (see Prop. 13.12)

$$\frac{d}{dr} e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} = - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} (\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + 2r\mathfrak{A}^2) e^{-s(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} ds .$$

It follows that there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > 1$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$ in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} k(r)_t \right\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} .$$

By Prop. 4.1 (4) and Duhamel's formula there is $C > 0$ such that for t small and $r \in [-1, 1]$ on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ as well as on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2} \right\| \leq C t^{1/2}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dr} ((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A}) e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2}) \right\| \leq C .$$

The previous estimates allow us to obtain information about the resolvents of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A}$:

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the integral

$$(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-n} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} (\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A} + \lambda)^n e^{-t((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda^2)} dt ,$$

which converges as a bounded operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, is of class C^1 in r .

Proposition 4.2. *Let $m > \frac{\dim M + k + 1}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$.*

The operator $((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda)^{-m}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^k(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^)$, which is of class C^1 in r . In particular $((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda)^{-m}$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, which is of class C^1 in r .*

Proof. We have that

$$((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda)^{-m} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} e^{-t((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda)} dt .$$

On the level of integral kernels the convergence of the integral follows for large t from eq. 4.2 and 4.3 and for small t from Prop. 4.1 (3). $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$ with disjoint support. Assume that there is a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\xi_1 = \phi$, $\operatorname{supp}(1 - \xi_{n+1}) \cap \operatorname{supp} \xi_n = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp} \xi_n \cap \operatorname{supp} \psi = \emptyset$ and such that $[\xi_n, \mathfrak{A}]$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$.*

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator

$$\phi((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 - \lambda^2)^{-k} \psi$$

is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^)$, which is of class C^1 in r .*

Proof. The assertion follows from Prop. 4.1 (5) by the above integral formula. $\square$

In the following proposition we set $r = 1$.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that M is even-dimensional (and closed). For $\mathcal{N}$ as in §2 big enough there is $\omega > 0$ and a symmetric integral operator $\mathcal{K}$ with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ such that*

$\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K} - \lambda$ is invertible on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega$.

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ an analogue of Prop. 4.2 holds for $(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K})^2 - \lambda^2$, and an analogue of Prop. 4.3 holds for $\phi((\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K})^2 - \lambda^2)^{-k} \psi$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega$.

Proof. We first show that for $\mathcal{N}$ big enough there is an integral operator $\mathcal{K}$ with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K}$ is invertible on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$.

The operator $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A}$ is a Fredholm operator with vanishing index. By a construction as in §3 for $\mathcal{N}$ big enough there is a symmetric integral operator $\mathcal{K}_1$ with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K}_1$ has closed range as an operator on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$. Hence the projection $\mathcal{P}$ onto the kernel of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K}_1$ is well-defined and $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{P}$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$. By Prop. 13.2 the operator $\mathcal{P}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $L^2(M \times M, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^*)$. Now the claim from the beginning of the proof follows from the fact that $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$ is dense in $L^2(M \times M, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}^*)$.

There is $\omega > 0$ such that the spectrum of the semigroup $e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K})^2}$ on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ is contained in $[0, e^{-\omega t}]$ for all t . As above by Prop. 13.1 and Prop. 13.9 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$

$$\|e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathcal{K})^2}\| \leq C e^{-(\omega - \varepsilon)t}.$$

Now the first part assertion follows from Prop. 13.8.

The second part follows as above. $\square$

4.2. Heat kernel for the superconnection. Recall the definition of the connection $P dP + \gamma$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_i$ from §2.

We define the superconnection associated to $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A}$ by

$$\mathbf{A}(r) := P dP + \gamma + \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A}.$$

The curvature of $\mathbf{A}(r)$ is the $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ -linear map

$$\mathbf{A}(r)^2 = (\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2 + [P dP + \gamma, \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A}] + P dP dP.$$

The operator $\mathbf{A}(0)^2$ is a generalized Laplacien (see §4.3 for the calculation of the supercommutator), hence the construction of [BGV, Ch. 2] applies here as well. Then one can construct and study the semigroup generated by $-\mathbf{A}(r)^2$ as in the proof of Prop. 4.1.

Since $\mathbf{A}(r)^2$ is a nilpotent perturbation of $(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} + r\mathfrak{A})^2$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ resp. on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, analogues of the estimates 4.1 and 4.2 hold.

We conclude:

Proposition 4.5. *The operator $-\mathbf{A}(r)^2$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on $C([-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ resp. on $C([-1, 1], C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$, and $e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)^2}$ is an integral operators with integral kernel $p(r)_t \in C^\infty(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ depending smoothly on (t, r) . The following estimates hold:*

- (1) *Let B be a first order differential operator resp. $B = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}$. There is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$*

$$\|B e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)^2}\| \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} B e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)^2} \right\| \leq C,$$

$$\|e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)} B\| \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)} B \right\| \leq C$$

on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Furthermore for $B = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}$ these estimates hold also on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

- (2) *For $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for all $r \in [-1, 1]$ and $t > 1$*

$$\|e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)^2}\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r)^2} \right\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t}.$$

- (3) *For $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 1$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$*

$$\|p(r)_t\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} p(r)_t \right\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t},$$

where the norm is taken in $C^k(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^)$.*

- (4) *Let $m > \frac{\dim M + k}{2}$. There is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$*

$$\|p(r)_t\| \leq C t^{-m}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} p(r)_t \right\| \leq C t^{-m}$$

in $C^k(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^)$.*

- (5) *Let $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$ with disjoint support. Assume that there is a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(M)$ with $\xi_1 = \phi$, $\text{supp}(1 - \xi_{n+1}) \cap \text{supp} \xi_n = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp} \xi_n \cap \text{supp} \psi = \emptyset$ and such that $[\xi_n, \mathfrak{A}]$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$*

$$\|\phi(x) p(r)_t(x, y) \psi(y)\| \leq C t, \quad \left\| \phi(x) \frac{d}{dr} p(r)_t(x, y) \psi(y) \right\| \leq C t$$

in $C^k(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^)$.*

4.3. Rescaled superconnection and limit for $t \rightarrow 0$. In the following we write

$$\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{A}(0) = P dP + \gamma + \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}$$

and define the rescaled superconnection

$$\mathbf{A}_t = P dP + \gamma + \sqrt{t} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}.$$

We determine the limit of the pointwise supertrace of the heat kernel of $e^{-\mathbf{A}_t^2}$ for $t \rightarrow 0$, closely following [BGV, Ch. 4].

Assume $n = \dim M$ even.

We adapt the Getzler rescaling to our situation:

Let U be a geodesic coordinate patch centered at $x_0 \in M$. In the following we denote by x the coordinate. Let $V := T_{x_0}U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, $S_V := S_{x_0}$ and $W := \text{Hom}_{C(V)}(S_V, E_{x_0})$. Via parallel transport along geodesics we identify TU with $V \times U$, furthermore the spinor bundle $S|_U$ with $S_V \times U$, and $\text{Hom}_{C(TU)}(S|_U, E|_U)$ with $W \times U$. There is an induced isomorphism $E|_U \cong (S_V \otimes W) \times U$. As before $\mathcal{F}|_U = P(\mathcal{A}^m \times U)$. We consider $\mathcal{E}_i|_U$ as a subbundle of the trivial bundle $(S_V \otimes W \otimes \mathcal{A}_i^p) \times U \cong \mathcal{A}_i^m \times U$ for $m = \dim(S_V \otimes W) + p$.

For $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times U, \Lambda^* V^* \otimes M_m(\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i))$ we set

$$(\delta_u \alpha)(t, x) := \sum_{k,l} u^{-\frac{k+l}{2}} \alpha(ut, u^{\frac{1}{2}}x)_{[k][l]} .$$

Here $\alpha(t, x)_{[k][l]}$ is the homogenous component of $\alpha(t, x)$ that is of degree k with respect to the grading on $\Lambda^* V^*$ and of degree l with respect to $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$.

We identify the Clifford algebra $C(V^*)$ with the exterior algebra ΛV^* via the symbol map

$$\sigma(a) := c(a)1$$

with $1 \in \Lambda^0(V^*)$. The restriction of the integral kernel of $e^{-t\mathbf{A}^2}$ to $U \times U$ is denoted by $k(t, x, y)$ and the restriction of the kernel of $e^{-\mathbf{A}_i^2}$ by $\tilde{k}(t, x, y)$. We have that

$$((t, x) \rightarrow k(t, x, x_0)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times U, \Lambda V^* \otimes M_m(\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i)) .$$

Set

$$r(u, t, x) := u^{n/2} \delta_u k(t, x, x_0) .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(u, 1, x) &= \sum_{k,l} u^{(n-k-l)/2} k(u, u^{\frac{1}{2}}x, x_0)_{[k][l]} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} u^{(n-k)/2} \tilde{k}(u, u^{\frac{1}{2}}x, x_0)_{[k][l]} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} r(u, 1, x_0)_{[n][l]} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \tilde{k}(u, x_0, x_0)_{[n][l]} .$$

We consider $\mathbf{A}^2$ as an operator on the space $C^\infty(U, \Lambda V^* \otimes M_m(\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i))$. Note that

$$(\partial_t - u \delta_u \mathbf{A}^2 \delta_u^{-1}) r(u, t, x) = 0 .$$

In the following we determine the limit of $u \delta_u \mathbf{A}^2 \delta_u^{-1}$ for $u \rightarrow 0$.

For that aim we calculate $[\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}, P \, \text{d} P + \gamma] = [\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}, P \, \text{d} P] + c(d\gamma)$.

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1, \dots, \dim M}$ be an orthonormal basis of V^* . We denote the dual basis vectors by ∂_j , $j = 1, \dots, \dim M$.

We use that there is $\alpha \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda^1 V^* \otimes M_m(\mathcal{A}_i))$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} = \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j) \nabla_{\partial_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j) P \partial_j P + c(\alpha) .$$

Furthermore $P(\partial_j P)P = P(dP)P = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)P\partial_j P, P dP] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(P\partial_j P dP - P dP\partial_j P) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(P(\partial_j P) dP + P\partial_j dP - P(dP)\partial_j P - P d\partial_j P) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(P(\partial_j P)(dP) + P(\partial_j P)P dP - P(dP)(\partial_j P) - P(dP)P\partial_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(P(\partial_j P)(dP) - P(dP)(\partial_j P)) \\
&= Pc(dP)(dP) + P(dP)c(dP) .
\end{aligned}$$

We decompose $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon(e_j)\beta_j$ with $\beta_j \in C^\infty(U, M_m(\mathcal{A}_i))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha, P dP] &= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(\beta_j dP - P d\beta_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(\beta_j(dP) + \beta_j d - P(d\beta_j) - \beta_j d) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_j)(\beta_j(dP) - P(d\beta_j)) \\
&= c(\alpha)(dP) + P(d c(\alpha)) .
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing,

$$[\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, P dP + \gamma] = Pc(dP)(dP) + P(dP)c(dP) + c(d\gamma) + c(\alpha)(dP) + P(d c(\alpha)) .$$

It holds that

$$u\delta_u(P dP + \gamma)^2 \delta_u^{-1} = (P dP + \gamma)^2 ,$$

and

$$u\delta_u[\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, P dP + \gamma] \delta_u^{-1} = \sum_j (\varepsilon(e_j) + u\varepsilon(e_j))(P(\partial_j P)(dP) - P(dP)(\partial_j P) + \beta_j(dP) - P(d\beta_j) + \partial_j \gamma) ,$$

which converges for $u \rightarrow 0$ to

$$Q := P(dP)(dP) + P(dP)(dP) + \alpha(dP) + P(d\alpha) + d\gamma .$$

Denote by $R(x_0)_{ij} \in \Lambda^2 V^*$ the matrix coefficients of the curvature tensor of M and let K be the differential operator

$$K = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n R(x_0)_{ij} x_j)^2 + F^{\mathcal{E}/S}(x_0) .$$

From the previous calculations and [BGV, Prop. 4.19] it follows that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u\delta_u \mathbf{A}^2 \delta_u^{-1} = K + Q + (P dP + \gamma)^2 .$$

Let vol_M be the volume form of M . As in [BGV, §4.3] one concludes that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} (\text{tr}_s \tilde{k}(u, x_0, x_0)) \text{vol}_M$ (in this expression we do not apply the symbol map to $\tilde{k}(u, x_0, x_0)$) equals the $\Lambda^* V^*$ -homogeneous component of degree n of

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi i)^{-n/2} \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{R/2}{\sinh(R/2)} \right) \text{tr}_s e^{-(F^{\mathcal{E}/S} + Q + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2)} \Big|_{x_0} \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-n/2} \hat{A}(M) \text{tr}_s e^{-(F^{\mathcal{E}/S} + Q + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2)} \Big|_{x_0} . \end{aligned}$$

In order to compare $\text{tr}_s e^{-(F^{\mathcal{E}/S} + Q + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2)}$ with the Chern character of $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)$ we calculate the curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} + P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2 &= (\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})^2 + [\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, P \, \text{d} P + \gamma] + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2 \\ &= (\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})^2 + [P \, \text{d} P + \alpha, P \, \text{d} P + \gamma] + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2 \\ &= R^{\mathcal{E}} + F^{\mathcal{E}/S} + Q + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2 , \end{aligned}$$

where the equality

$$[\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, P \, \text{d} P + \gamma] = [P \, \text{d} P + \alpha, P \, \text{d} P + \gamma] = Q$$

is proved by replacing $c(e_i)$ with $\varepsilon(e_i)$ in the above calculations.

In particular, if $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ is of the form $\nabla^E \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$, then

$$\text{tr}_s(e^{-(F^{\mathcal{E}/S} + Q + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)^2)}) = \text{tr}_s(e^{-F^{E/S}}) \text{ch}(\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} + (P \, \text{d} P + \gamma)) .$$

In general, we will be sloppy and write $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}/S)$ for the left hand side, thus suppressing the dependence on the connection.

5. THE η -FORM

We apply the results of the previous sections to the odd-dimensional manifold N of §2 and construct the η -form. The construction works also if N is not a boundary.

Let C_1 be the Clifford algebra of $\mathbb{R}$ with odd generator σ with $\sigma^2 = 1$. Define the superconnection

$$\mathbf{A}^N = P \, \text{d} P + \sigma \mathcal{D}_N$$

and the rescaled superconnection

$$\mathbf{A}_t^N = P \, \text{d} P + \sqrt{t} \sigma \mathcal{D}_N .$$

Both act as odd operators on sections of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded bundle $C_1 \otimes \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N$.

Let $\text{tr}_\sigma(a + \sigma b) := \text{tr}(a)$ and define analogously Tr_σ .

Proposition 5.1. *Let $a_t(x)$ be the integral kernel of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}_N e^{-(\mathbf{A}_t^N)^2}$ restricted to the diagonal. Then $\text{tr}_\sigma a_t(x) \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty]$ converges uniformly for $t \rightarrow 0$.*

We will only need that $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Tr}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}_N e^{-(\mathbf{A}_t^N)^2})$ has a limit for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We adapt [BC, pp. 49-50].

Let $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the euclidian metric and let $p : S^1 \times N \rightarrow N$ be the projection.

Define a Clifford module $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_i = (\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^-) \otimes (p^* \mathcal{E}_i^N)$ on $S^1 \times N$ with Clifford multiplication

$$c(dx_1) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $c(v) := -c(dx_1)c_N(v)$ for $v \in T^*N$, and endow $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_i$ with the product $\mathcal{A}_i$ -hermitian structure and connection. The projection $P|_N \circ p$ is denoted by P again, and $\gamma|_N \circ p$ is also denoted by γ . Thus $P \, dP + \gamma$ is a connection on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$.

Let $\tilde{\partial}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}$ denote the Dirac operator associated to $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$; thus

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}} = c(dx_1)(\partial_1 - \tilde{\partial}_N) .$$

Let $f \in C^\infty(S^1)$ be a positive function such that $f(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$.

For $s > 0$ define a new metric

$$g_s = s^{-1} dx_1^2 + f(x_1)^2 g_N$$

on $S^1 \times N$. Let $c_s : T^*(S^1 \times N) \rightarrow \text{End}(\hat{\mathcal{E}})$ denote the Clifford multiplication with respect to g_s . A Clifford connection $\nabla^{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to g_s is given by

$$\nabla_{\partial_1}^{\hat{\mathcal{E}}} v = \partial_1 v ,$$

$$\nabla_X^{\hat{\mathcal{E}}} v = \nabla_X^{\mathcal{E}_N^N} v + \frac{f'}{f} c_s(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx_1) c_s(X^*) v ,$$

where $X^* \in T^*N$ is the dual of $X \in TN$ with respect to $f(x_1)^2 g_N$. We write $D_N := -c(dx_1) \tilde{\partial}_N$. The Dirac operator associated to $\nabla^{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}_f(s) &= c(dx_1) \left(s^{1/2} (\partial_1 + \frac{\dim N}{2} \frac{f'}{f}) - \frac{1}{f} \tilde{\partial}_N \right) \\ &= s^{1/2} c(dx_1) (\partial_1 + \frac{\dim N}{2} \frac{f'}{f}) + \frac{1}{f} D_N . \end{aligned}$$

The rescaled superconnection associated to $\tilde{\partial}_f(s)$ is

$$\mathbf{B}(s)_t := P \, dP + \gamma + \sqrt{t} \tilde{\partial}_f(s)$$

with curvature

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(s)_t^2 &= -ts(\partial_{x_1} + \frac{\dim N}{2} \frac{f'}{f})^2 \\ &\quad - tc(dx_1) s^{1/2} \frac{f'}{f^2} D_N + t f^{-2} D_N^2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t} f^{-1} [P \, dP + \gamma, D_N] + (P \, dP + \gamma)^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Similar to §4.3 we consider the action of $e^{-\mathbf{B}(s)_t^2}$ on $C^\infty(S^1 \times N, (\Lambda^* T^* S^1 \boxtimes Cl(T^* N)) \otimes M_p(\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i))$.

We introduce the coordinate $r = x_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ near $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and rescale it: Let $\alpha \in C^\infty(S^1 \times N, (\Lambda^j T^* S^1 \boxtimes C(T^* N)) \otimes M_p(\hat{\Omega}_k \mathcal{A}_i))$. For $|r|$ small and $u > 0$ define

$$\delta_u \alpha(r, x_2) = u^{-\frac{j}{2}} \alpha(u^{1/2} r, x_2) .$$

Then

$$\delta_u c(dr) \delta_u^{-1} = u^{-1/2} \varepsilon(dr) + u^{1/2} i(dr) ,$$

and we have that

$$\delta_u \partial_r \delta_{u^{-1}} = u^{-1/2} \partial_r .$$

We get that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \delta_u \mathbf{B}(u)_t^2 \delta_u^{-1} = -\partial_r^2 - dr D_N + D_N^2 - \sqrt{t} [P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma, D_N] - (P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma)^2 .$$

Fix $y_2 \in N$ and denote the integral kernel of $\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1}$ evaluated at $(r, x_2, 0, y_2)$ by $(\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1})(r, x_2)$, and similarly for other integral operators. Thus

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} (\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1})(0, y_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} ((1 + tdr D_N) e^{-(P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma + \sqrt{t} D_N)^2})(0, y_2) .$$

We identify $\Lambda^* T^* S^1 \otimes Cl(T^* N)$ with $Cl(T^*(S^1 \times N))$ via the symbol map. Then for some $C > 0$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{tr}_s (\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1})(0, y_2) = C \sqrt{t} \mathrm{tr}_\sigma a(y_2) .$$

The term $\mathrm{tr}_s (\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1})(r, y_2)$ has a development near $r = 0$ and for $u, t \leq 1$ of the form

$$\sum_{j, l \in \mathbb{N}_0} t^{j-n/2} u^{l/2} k_{j, l}(r, y_2) .$$

By eq. 4.4 the supertrace $\mathrm{tr}_s (\delta_u e^{-\mathbf{B}(u)_t^2} \delta_u^{-1})(0, y_2)$ converges for $t \rightarrow 0$ and $u = 1$ to the coefficient of $\mathrm{vol}_{S^1 \times N}$ of

$$(2\pi i)^{-n/2} \hat{A}(S^1 \times N) \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}^N / S_N)|_{(0, y_2)} .$$

One checks that no component of this expression involves dr , thus supertrace vanishes. It follows that $k_{j, l}(r, y_2) = 0$ if $j \leq \frac{n}{2}$. This shows the assertion. $\square$

Let A be an adapted trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$ and let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function with $\psi(r) = 0$ for $r < 1$ and $\psi(r) = 1$ for $r > 2$.

We define the superconnection $\mathbf{A}^N(r) = P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma + \sigma(\mathcal{D}_N + \psi(r)A)$. Its curvature is

$$\mathbf{A}^N(r)^2 = (\mathcal{D}_N + \psi(r)A)^2 + (P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma)^2 - \sigma R$$

with $R = [P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}_N + \psi(r)A]$ bounded and $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ -linear.

The rescaled superconnection is given by $\mathbf{A}^N(r)_t = P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma + \sqrt{t} \sigma(\mathcal{D}_N + \psi(r)A)$.

Set

$$\eta(\not\partial_N, A) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} dt \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty] .$$

For $t \rightarrow 0$ the convergence follows from the previous proposition, for $t \rightarrow \infty$ it is implied by the results in §4.1. Note that in general $\eta(\not\partial_N, A)$ depends on the choice of ψ , although this is not reflected in the notation.

6. HEAT SEMIGROUP AND SUPERCONNECTION ON THE CYLINDER

6.1. Resolvents and heat kernel. The discussion in this section is similar to [W1, §§3.4, 4.2].

Let $Z = \mathbb{R} \times N$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}^Z$ the bundle $(\mathbb{C}^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^-) \otimes p^*(\mathcal{E}^N)$ with the Clifford module structure as in the proof of Prop. 5.1 and let $\not{D}_Z$ be the Dirac operator associated to $\mathcal{E}^Z$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a selfadjoint operator adapted to $\mathcal{D}_N$. Recall that $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ denotes the translation invariant operator $\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathfrak{A} \end{pmatrix}$ on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$.

Fourier transform is continuous on the Fréchet space of rapidly decaying functions $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$ since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is nuclear.

We fix the convention that closed operators have $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ as a core for their domain. In particular we take first powers, then closures.

Let $\mathcal{D}_Z(r)$ be the closure of $\not{D}_Z - c(dx_1)r\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A}$ the closure of $\not{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A}$, which acts on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ in a translation invariant way, and denote by $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ the closure of the Laplace operator $-\partial_{x_1}^2$. Both, $(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ generate holomorphic semigroups on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ by the fact that $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N))$. It follows that

$$e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}$$

is a holomorphic semigroup on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. The strong limit for $t \rightarrow 0$ is uniform in $r \in [-1, 1]$.

Furthermore $e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}$ acts also as a holomorphic semigroup on the spaces $C_0^k(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes C^l(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N))$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and the strong limit for $t \rightarrow 0$ is uniform in $r \in [-1, 1]$ as well. Hence this also holds for $C_0^n(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$.

Eq. 4.1 and the subsequent remarks imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 1$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$

$$(6.1) \quad \|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}\| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon t}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} \right\| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon t}$$

on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$ resp. on $C_0^k(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$.

Lemma 6.1. (1) Let B be a differential operator of order k with coefficients in $C^\infty(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z \times_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$. Let $m > \frac{\dim Z + k}{2}$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for $|r|, t \leq 1$ on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$

$$\|Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}\| \leq Ct^{-m}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} \right\| \leq Ct^{-m}$$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} B\| \leq Ct^{-m}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} B \right\| \leq Ct^{-m}$$

(2) Let B be a differential operator of order 1 with coefficients in $C^\infty(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z \times_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$ resp. let $B = \mathcal{D}_N \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A} \mathcal{D}_N$. Then for $|r|, t \leq 1$ on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$

$$\|Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} Be^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} \right\| \leq C,$$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}B\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ , \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr}e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2}B \right\| \leq C \ .$$

For $B = \mathcal{D}_N\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_N$ these estimates hold also on $C_0^k(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may restrict to the case where B is translation invariant.

We decompose $B = \sum_j B_j(i\partial_{x_1})^j$ with B_j a differential operator on $C^\infty(N, \mathcal{E}_i^N)$ of order at most $k - j$ and write

$$B_j(i\partial_{x_1})^j e^{-t\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2} = B_j e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} (i\partial_{x_1})^j e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} \ .$$

Now the assertion follows since by Prop. 4.1 (3) for $|r|, t \leq 1$

$$\|B_j e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2}\| \leq Ct^{-m+\frac{j}{2}} \ , \quad \left\| \frac{d}{dr} B_j e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} \right\| \leq Ct^{-m+\frac{j}{2}}$$

and since furthermore

$$\|(i\partial_{x_1})^j e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}}\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{j}{2}} \ .$$

The second claim follows analogously by using Prop. 4.1 (4). $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$. Then $\mathcal{D}_Z(r) - \lambda$ has a bounded inverse on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$ as well as on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$. On $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$ the inverse is of class C^1 in r (with respect to the norm topology). Furthermore its derivative is bounded on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$, then $(r \mapsto (\mathcal{D}_Z(r) - \lambda)^{-1}f) \in C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z))$.*

Proof. We first consider the action on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^Z)$.

Fouriertransform intertwines the operator $\mathcal{D}_Z(r) - \lambda = c(dx_1)(\partial_1 - (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_N + r\tilde{\mathfrak{A}})) - \lambda$ with the operator $c(dx_1)(ix_1 - (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_N + r\tilde{\mathfrak{A}})) - \lambda$. It is enough to study the inverse of its square $(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)$.

The resolvent $(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}$ exists on $C^k(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i^N)$ by the results in §4 and is bounded by $C(|x_1| + 1)^{-2}$, with C independent of $r \in [-1, 1]$. The bound follows from the standard estimate for resolvents of generators of holomorphic semigroups. Thus $(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}$ defines a bounded operator on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i)$. The usual resolvent formula implies that $(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}f$ is continuous in r and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dr}(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}f \\ &= -(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}\mathcal{D}_N + \mathcal{D}_N\mathfrak{A} + 2r\mathfrak{A}^2)(\lambda^2 - x_1^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2)^{-1}f \ , \end{aligned}$$

which is also continuous in r .

On $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu}\mathcal{E}_i)$ the integral

$$(\mathcal{D}_Z(r) - \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{D}_Z(r) + \lambda) e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda^2)} dt$$

converges as a bounded operator. It is C^1 in r by the estimates of the previous Lemma. $\square$

It follows that the powers of $\mathcal{D}_Z(r) - \lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ are closed.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m > \frac{\dim Z + k + 1}{2}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$.*

The operator $(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-m}$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C^k(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ that is of class C^1 in r .

Proof. For a differential operator $B = \sum_j B_j (i\partial_1)^j$ of order smaller or equal to k , where the B_j are differential operators on $C^\infty(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ of order at most $k - j$, the operator $B(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-m}$ is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and

$$B(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-m} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} \sum_j B_j e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} (i\partial_1)^j e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{\lambda t} dt.$$

The following estimates hold for small t and $r \in [-1, 1]$ and the norm is the operator norm for bounded operators from $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_j e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow C} &< C t^{-m + \frac{j}{2}}, \quad \|B_j \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow C} < C t^{-m + \frac{j}{2}} \\ \|(i\partial_1)^j e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow C} &\leq C t^{-\frac{j+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the integral converges as a bounded operator from $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and is C^1 in r . $\square$

Proposition 6.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$.*

Let $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with disjoint support and with ϕ resp. ψ compactly supported. Then $\phi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-k} \psi$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z \times Z, \mathcal{E}_i^Z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Z)^))$.*

Proof. We only prove the case where ψ is compactly supported. The other case is analogous. We use induction. First assume that $k = 1$.

For $T > 0$ the integral kernel of

$$\phi \int_0^T e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{t\lambda} dt \psi$$

is in $C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z \times Z, \mathcal{E}_i^Z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Z)^*))$.

Furthermore

$$\phi \int_T^\infty e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{t\lambda} dt \psi = \phi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-1} e^{-T(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-T\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{T\lambda} \psi,$$

and the integral kernel of $e^{-T(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-T\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{T\lambda} \psi$ is in $C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z \times Z, \mathcal{E}_i^Z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Z)^*))$. We conclude from Prop. 6.2 that the integral kernel of $\phi \int_T^\infty e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + r\mathfrak{A})^2} e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} e^{-t\lambda} dt \psi$ is in $C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z \times Z, \mathcal{E}_i^Z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Z)^*))$.

For $k > 1$ let $\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(1 - \xi) \cap \operatorname{supp} \psi = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp} \xi \cap \operatorname{supp} \phi = \emptyset$. By induction and by Prop. 6.2 each of the terms on the right hand side of the following equation is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^1([-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(Z \times Z, \mathcal{E}_i^Z \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Z)^*))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-k} \psi &= \phi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-k+1} \xi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-1} \psi \\ &\quad + \phi(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-k+1} (1 - \xi)(\mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 - \lambda)^{-1} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$ then there is $\omega > 0$ such that on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$

$$\|e^{-t(\mathcal{D}_N + \mathfrak{A})^2}\| \leq Ce^{-\omega t}.$$

Analogues of the previous three propositions hold for the resolvents $(\mathcal{D}_Z(1)^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < \omega$ resp. $(\mathcal{D}_Z(1) - \lambda)^{-1}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega$.

6.2. The superconnection. A superconnection associated to $\mathcal{D}_Z(r)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{A}^Z(r) := P \, d P + \gamma + \mathcal{D}_Z(r) = P \, d P + \gamma + c(dx_1) \partial_1 - c(dx_1)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_N + r \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}),$$

and the corresponding rescaled superconnection by $\mathbf{A}^Z(r)_t := P \, d P + \gamma + \sqrt{t} \mathcal{D}_Z(r)$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2 &= \mathcal{D}_Z(r)^2 + (P \, d P + \gamma)^2 + c(dx_1)[P \, d P + \gamma, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_N + r \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}] \\ &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} + (P \, d P + \gamma)^2 + (\mathcal{D}_N + r \mathfrak{A})^2 + c(dx_1) \tilde{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $c(dx_1) \tilde{R} = \tilde{R} c(dx_1)$.

There is a linear map

$$\mathcal{L} : a + \sigma b \mapsto a + c(dx_1) \tilde{b},$$

with σ as in 5.

Here, for example, a, b are homomorphisms on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N$ and the image is a homomorphism on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z$. If a, b are operators on $L^2(N, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N)$, then $\mathcal{L}(a + \sigma b)$ is a translation invariant operator on $L^2(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z)$. Note that for homomorphism the supertrace vanishes on the image of $\mathcal{L}$.

Using Volterra development with respect to $c(dx_1) \tilde{R}$ one verifies that

$$(6.2) \quad e^{-t \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2} = e^{-t \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{L}(e^{-t \mathbf{A}^N(r)^2}).$$

We conclude from Prop. 4.5:

Proposition 6.5. *The operator $e^{-t \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2}$ is an integral operator with smooth integral kernel $p^Z(r)_t$ depending smoothly on (r, t) . For any $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $c > 4$ there is $C > 0$ such that for $|x_1 - y_1| > \varepsilon_2$ for all $t > 0$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$*

$$|p^Z(r)_t(x, y)| \leq C e^{\varepsilon_1 t - \frac{(x_1 - y_1)^2}{ct}}.$$

Analogous estimates hold for the first derivatives with respect to r, t and the partial derivatives in x, y .

The pointwise supertrace of the integral kernel of $e^{-t \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2}$ vanishes. The same holds true for the composition of $e^{-t \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2}$ with even differential operators commuting with $c(dx_1)$. Moreover the pointwise supertrace of the integral kernel of $\mathcal{D}_Z(r) e^{-t \mathbf{A}^Z(r)^2}$ vanishes on the diagonal. Analogous statements hold for the integral kernel of the rescaled operator $e^{-\mathbf{A}^Z(r)_t^2}$.

For later use note also that for homomorphisms a, b, c on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^N$

$$(6.3) \quad \operatorname{tr}_s \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(a + \sigma b) = \operatorname{tr}_s(\tilde{c}a \pm c(dx_1)cb) = 2 \operatorname{tr}(ca) = 2 \operatorname{tr}_\sigma c(a + \sigma b).$$

7. RESOLVENTS AND HEAT SEMIGROUP ON M

Let M be a manifold with cylindric ends as in §2. We assume that A is an adapted trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$. Recall $\chi, \mathfrak{K}$ from §3 and the function ψ defined in the end of §5.

We set

$$\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) := \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E} - \psi(r)c(dx_1)\chi\tilde{A} + \rho\mathfrak{K}.$$

For the definition of a parametrix and for the study of the heat semigroup patching arguments will be used, which we prepare now.

We assume $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ defined in §3 large enough for the constructions in the following sections to work.

Let $d \geq 4$ be such that support of the integral kernel of $\mathfrak{K}$ is contained in $(M_c \cup \{x \in Z^+ \mid x_1 < d-1\})^2$.

Define an open covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_0, U_1, U_2\}$ of M by

- $U_0 := M_c \cup \{x \in Z^+ \mid x_1 < \frac{1}{2}\} \cup *$,
- $U_1 := \{x \in Z^+ \mid x_1 \in (0, d)\}$,
- $U_2 := \{x \in Z^+ \mid x_1 > d-1\}$.

We have that $\text{supp } \chi \cap \overline{U_0} = \emptyset$, $\text{supp } \chi' \subset U_1$ and $\text{supp } \chi' \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$. Furthermore the intersection of the support of the integral kernel of $\mathfrak{K}$ with $M \times U_2 \cup U_2 \times M$ is empty.

Let (ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$ and let $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ with $\zeta_j \in C^\infty(M)$ be such that $\text{supp } \zeta_j \subset U_j$ and $\text{supp}(1 - \zeta_j) \cap \text{supp } \phi_j = \emptyset$. Note that the derivatives of ϕ_j, ζ_j are supported on the cylindric end. We assume that the ϕ_j, ζ_j only depend on the variable x_1 on the cylindric end.

Let W be the union of a closed manifold and an isolated point $*_W$ and let E_W be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Clifford module on W . Assume that there is an isomorphism $E|_{U_0} \rightarrow E_W$ of Clifford modules whose base map is an isometric embedding mapping $*$ to $*_W$. We identify $E|_{U_0}$ with its image in E_W . Let $P_0 \in C^\infty(W, M_p(\mathcal{A}_\infty))$ be a projection such that $P_0|_{U_0} = P|_{U_0}$. We set $\mathcal{E}^W = E^W \otimes P_0(W \times \mathcal{A}^p)$ and choose a Clifford connection on $\mathcal{E}^W$ which agrees on U_0 with $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_0(r) := \mathcal{D}_W$ be the Dirac operator associated to $\mathcal{E}^W$.

Furthermore choose a connection $P_0 \circ d + \gamma_0$ on $\mathcal{E}_\infty^W$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_i$ with $\gamma_0|_{U_0} = \gamma|_{U_0}$. Furthermore we assume that γ supercommutes with Clifford multiplication and that $\gamma = -\gamma^*$.

Let $\xi \in C_c^\infty(U_1)$ be such that ξ equals χ on a neighbourhood of $\text{supp } \zeta_1$. Let $S_{d+1} = \mathbb{R}/(d+1)\mathbb{Z}$ be the circle with circumference $d+1$. We define Y as the union of $S_{d+1} \times N$ and an isolated point $*_Y$. Let $p : Y \setminus *_Y \rightarrow N$ be the projection and let $\mathcal{E}_{Y \setminus *_Y}^Y = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes p^* \mathcal{E}^N$ and $\mathcal{E}^Y(*_Y) = \mathcal{E}(*_Y)$. We endow $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes p^* \mathcal{E}^N$ with the product Dirac bundle structure. Furthermore we identify $\mathcal{E}|_{U_1}$ with the restriction of $\mathcal{E}^Y$ to $(0, d) \times N \subset Y$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_Y$ be the Dirac operator associated to $\mathcal{E}^Y$. Then the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_1(r) := \mathcal{D}_1 - \psi(r)c(dx_1)\xi\tilde{A}$$

is a closed operator on $L^2(Y, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Y)$. A straightforward calculation exploiting the product structure shows that $c(dx_1)\xi\tilde{A}$ is adapted to $\mathcal{D}_1$. Hence the results of §4

apply.

We define P_1, γ_1 by $P_1(x) = P(p(x)), \gamma_1(x) = \gamma(p(x))$ for $x \in Y \setminus *_Y$ and $P_1(*_Y) = P(*), \gamma_1(*_Y) = \gamma(*)$ and thus get a connection $P_1 dP_1 + \gamma_1$ on $\mathcal{E}_\infty^Y$ in direction of $\mathcal{A}_i$.

Furthermore we set

$$\mathcal{D}_2(r) := \mathcal{D}_Z - \psi(r)c(dx_1)\tilde{A}$$

and $P_2(x) = P(p(x)), \gamma_2(x) = \gamma(p(x))$. Here $p : Z \rightarrow N$ is the projection.

7.1. The resolvents. In the following we define a parametrix of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$, which will be used to apply the results of §13.1.

By Prop. 4.4 for $\mathcal{N}$ large enough there is a symmetric integral operator $\mathcal{K}_0$ with integral kernel in $C^\infty(W \times W, \mathcal{E}_i^W \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^W)^*)$ and $\omega_0 > 0$ such that

$$Q_\lambda^0 := (\mathcal{D}_0(2) + \mathcal{K}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$$

exists for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega_0$.

Similarly, assuming $\mathcal{N}$ large enough there is $\omega_1 > 0$ and an symmetric integral operator $\mathcal{K}_1$ with integral kernel in $C^\infty(Y \times Y, \mathcal{E}_i^Y \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i^Y)^*)$ such that $Q_\lambda^1 := (\mathcal{D}_1(2) + \mathcal{K}_1 - \lambda)^{-1}$ exists for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega_1$.

Furthermore we can choose $\omega_2 > 0$ such that $Q_\lambda^2 = (\mathcal{D}_2(2) - \lambda)^{-1}$ is well-defined for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega_2$.

For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \min(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ we set $Q_\lambda := \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j Q_\lambda^j \zeta_j$.

In order to study the r -dependence we define $Q_\lambda^j(r) = (\mathcal{D}_j(r) - \lambda)^{-1}, j = 0, 1, 2$ for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ and set

$$Q_\lambda(r) := \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j Q_\lambda^j(r) \zeta_j .$$

We verify that $Q_\lambda(r)$ is a regular left parametrix of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ in the sense of §13.1.

We denote equality up to integral operators with integral kernel in $C^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1], \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$ by $\sim$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_\lambda(r)(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda) - 1 \\ & \sim Q_\lambda(r)(\mathcal{D}(r, 0) - \lambda) - 1 \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j Q_\lambda^j(r) (\zeta_j \mathcal{D}(r, 0) - \mathcal{D}_j(r) \zeta_j) + \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j (Q_\lambda^j(r) (\mathcal{D}_j(r) - \lambda) - 1) \zeta_j \\ & \sim - \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j Q_\lambda^j(r) c(d\zeta_j) \\ & \sim 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence holds by the off-diagonal properties of the resolvents, which follows from Prop. 4.3 and Prop. 6.4.

It follows that $Q_\lambda(r)$ is a regular left parametrix of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ as defined in §13.2.

Analogously for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \min(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $r > 2$ the operator Q_λ is a regular left parametrix of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$.

We also need parametrices of higher order. We only carry out the construction in the case $\lambda^2 < 0$, the case $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \min(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ and $r > 2$ is similar.

Set

$$R_\lambda^m(r) := \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m} \zeta_j.$$

Lemma 7.1. *The operator $R_\lambda^m(r)$ is a regular left parametrix of $(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 - \lambda^2)^m$.*

Proof. Let $\xi_j \in C_c^\infty(M)$ be a positive function such that $\operatorname{supp} \xi_j \cap \operatorname{supp}(1 - \zeta_j) = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp} \phi_j \cap \operatorname{supp}(1 - \xi_j) = \emptyset$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m} \zeta_j(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 - \lambda^2)^m \\ & \sim \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)^{-1} \xi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r) - \lambda)^{-1} \zeta_j(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 - \lambda^2)^m \\ & \sim \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)^{-1} \xi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)(\mathcal{D}(r, 0)^2 - \lambda^2)^{m-1} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)^{-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) \xi_j - \xi_j \mathcal{D}(r, \rho))(\mathcal{D}(r, 0)^2 - \lambda^2)^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction $\sum_{j=0}^2 \phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)^{-1} \xi_j$ is a regular left parametrix of $(\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)(\mathcal{D}(r, 0)^2 - \lambda^2)^{m-1}$. This shows that the first term is equivalent to zero. In the second term $\phi_j(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) \xi_j - \xi_j \mathcal{D}(r, \rho))$ is equivalent to zero by the off-diagonal behaviour of $(\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m-1} (\mathcal{D}_j(r) + \lambda)^{-1}$. $\square$

Proposition 7.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$.*

- (1) *If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$, then $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ that is of class C^1 in r . It also acts continuously on $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and for $f \in \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ it holds that $((r, \rho) \mapsto (\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda)^{-1} f) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$.*
- (2) *Let $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < 0$ and let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > \frac{\dim M + k + 1}{2}$. Then $(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 - \lambda^2)^{-m}$ maps $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ continuously to $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and is of class C^1 in (r, ρ) .*
- (3) *If $r > 2$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \min(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$, then (1) and (2) also hold.*

Corollary 7.3. *If $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$, then there is $\omega > 0$ such that the operator $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega$.*

Proof. Since the selfadjoint operator $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ has closed range for $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$, there is $\omega > 0$ such that the operator $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 < \omega$. $\square$

Corollary 7.4. *Let $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$. The kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ consists of elements of $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.*

Proof. Let f be an element of the kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Then by the previous proposition for $m > \frac{\dim M + k + 1}{2}$

$$f = (\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 + 1)^{-m} f \in C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i) .$$

Thus $f \in C^\infty(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_2(r) \phi_2 f = \mathcal{D}_2(r) \phi_2 - \phi_2 \mathcal{D}(r, \rho) f = c(d\phi_2) f \in \mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z) .$$

Hence by Prop. 6.2

$$\phi_2 f = \mathcal{D}_2(r)^{-1} c(d\phi_2) f \in \mathcal{S}(Z, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^Z) .$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. □

For $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$ let $\mathcal{P}$ be the projection onto the kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$. It exists since the range of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ is closed. Furthermore $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) + \mathcal{P}$ is invertible on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$.*

- (1) *It holds that $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{j=1}^k g_j h_j^*$ for appropriate elements $g_j, h_j \in \mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_\infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ in the kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$.*
- (2) *An analogue of Prop. 7.2 holds for $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) + \mathcal{P}$. In particular the operator $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) + \mathcal{P}$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.*

Proof. By Prop. 7.2 zero is an isolated point in the spectrum of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Hence for r small enough

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r} (\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda$$

is well-defined and bounded on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

For $\mu = 0$ we have that $\text{Ran}_\infty \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_\infty)$ by the previous lemma. (Here we use the notation of Prop. 13.3). Since $\mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{A}_\infty) \subset L^2(M, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_\pi \mathcal{A}_\infty$, and since the bundle $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ can be isometrically embedded in a trivial bundle, the first assertion follows from Prop. 13.3. The proof of the second assertion is as the proof of Prop. 7.2. Note that Q_λ is also a left regular parametrix of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) + \mathcal{P} - \lambda$. □

7.2. The heat semigroup. We prove the existence of the heat semigroup on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ generated $-\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2$ (considered as a family parametrized by $(r, \rho) \in \mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1]$) by defining an approximation and then using Volterra development as in [BGV, §2.4].

We set

$$E(r)_t := \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j e^{-t \mathcal{D}_j(r)^2} \phi_j .$$

Proposition 7.6. *Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m > \frac{\dim M + k + 1}{2}$.*

- (1) The operator $-\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$. For $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.
- (2) For $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is a continuous operator from $C_c^{2m}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, which is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) .
- (3) Let B be a first order differential operator. On $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ for $t < 1$

$$\|Be^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}B\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\left\|\frac{d}{dr}Be^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\right\| \leq C, \quad \left\|\frac{d}{dr}e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}B\right\| \leq C.$$

- (4) For $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \in [-1, 1]$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\| \leq Ce^{\varepsilon t}, \quad \left\|\frac{d}{dr}e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\right\| \leq Ct^{1/2}e^{\varepsilon t}$$

as an operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ resp. as an operator from $C_c^{2m}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

- (5) Let $\rho \neq 0$ and $r > 2$. Then the estimate in (4) holds with $\varepsilon = 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the projection onto the kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$. There is $\omega > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|e^{-t(\mathcal{D}(r, \rho) + \mathcal{P})^2}\| \leq Ce^{-\omega t}$$

as a operator from $C_c^{2m}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ resp. as a operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

Proof. For $t > 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} R(r, \rho)_t &:= \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2\right)E(r)_t \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^2 [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}, c(d\zeta_j)]e^{-t\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2}\phi_j + (\rho(\mathfrak{K}\mathcal{D}(r, 0) + \mathcal{D}(r, 0)\mathfrak{K}) + \rho^2\mathfrak{K}^2)E(r)_t \end{aligned}$$

and set $R(r, \rho)_0 = \rho(\mathfrak{K}\mathcal{D}(r, 0) + \mathcal{D}(r, 0)\mathfrak{K}) + \rho^2\mathfrak{K}^2$.

Note that $R(r, \rho)_t$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $C_c^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) .

Thus (see [BGV, §2.4]) the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)Q^n(r, \rho)_t$ with

$$Q^n(r, \rho)_t := \int_{t\Delta_n} E(r)_{t-u_1} R(r, \rho)_{u_1-u_2} \dots R(r, \rho)_{u_{n-1}-u_n} R(r, \rho)_{u_n} du_1 \dots du_n$$

converges and defines the strongly continuous semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. (The semigroup is unique since on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$ it has to agree with the one defined on the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$ by the functional calculus for selfadjoint operators.) One checks that for $t > 0$ the limit is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) .

By a similar calculation as above $\left\|\frac{d}{dt}E(r)_t - E(r)_t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2\right\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t < 1$. Thus, since $R(r, \rho)_t$ is uniformly bounded for small t

$$\|\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 E(r)_t - E(r)_t \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using that $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 R(r, \rho)_t$ is uniformly bounded as well for t small we get that $\|\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 Q^n(r, \rho)_t\| \leq Ct^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for small t and $n \geq 1$. Since $\|\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 E(r)_t\| \leq Ct^{-1}$, this implies that $\|\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 e^{-\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\| \leq Ct^{-1}$. Hence the semigroup extends to a holomorphic one, see Prop. 13.6.

This implies (1). The first two estimates in (3) follow since $\|BE(r)_t\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|E(r)_t B\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ by Prop. 4.1 and Prop. 6.1.

(2) follows from Prop. 7.2 and

$$e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} = (\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 + \lambda)^{-m} e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} (\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 + \lambda)^m .$$

From the above series expansion one infers that $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ preserves the space $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Thus we can apply Duhamel's formula (Prop. 13.12) and get

$$\frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} = - \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 \right) e^{-(t-s)\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} ds .$$

The first estimate of (4) follows from Prop. 7.2 and Prop. 13.9, the second from the first in combination with the previous formula. We also get the remaining estimates of (3).

The proof of (5) is analogous and uses Prop. 7.5 (2) and, for the last statement, the fact that $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} = e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} (1 - \mathcal{P}) + \mathcal{P}$. $\square$

7.3. The heat kernel. In the following we construct and study the heat kernel of $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ by comparison with $E(r)_t$.

By Duhamel's principle

$$\begin{aligned} (7.1) \quad & E(r)_t - e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} [\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}, c(d\zeta_j)] e^{-(t-s)\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2} \phi_j ds \\ & \quad \int_0^t e^{-s\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} (\rho(\mathfrak{K}\mathcal{D}(r, 0) + \mathcal{D}(r, 0)\mathfrak{K}) + \rho^2 \mathfrak{K}^2) E(r)_{t-s} ds . \end{aligned}$$

Using the heat kernel estimates of §4.1 and Prop. 6.5 we infer that the operator $\sum_{i=0}^2 [\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}, c(d\zeta_j)] e^{-t\mathcal{D}_j(r)^2} \phi_j$ has a smooth integral kernel $g_t(x, y)$, which is supported in $U_1 \times M$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $c > 4$ there is $C > 0$ such that for $t \geq 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|g_t(x, y)| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} 1_{U_1}(x) (t 1_{U_0 \cup U_1}(y) + e^{-\frac{d(U_0 \cup U_1, y)}{ct}}) .$$

Analogous estimates hold for the partial derivatives and the first derivatives with respect to r and t .

The term $(\rho(\mathfrak{K}\mathcal{D}(r, 0) + \mathcal{D}(r, 0)\mathfrak{K}) + \rho^2 \mathfrak{K}^2) E(r)_t$ is an integral operator with smooth integral kernel supported on $(U_0 \cup U_1) \times (U_0 \cup U_1)$. The integral kernel converges in $C_c^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in [-1, 1]$. Furthermore for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that the integral kernel is bounded by $C e^{\varepsilon t} 1_{U_0 \cup U_1}(x) 1_{U_0 \cup U_1}(y)$ for $t \geq 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in [-1, 1]$. Analogous estimates hold for the partial derivatives and the first derivatives with respect to r, ρ and t .

Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m > \frac{\dim M + k + 1}{2}$. Since $e^{-s\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} : C_c^{2m}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i) \rightarrow C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ is bounded by Prop. 7.6, we get that $E(r)_t - e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is an

integral operator with smooth integral kernel, which furthermore is of class C^1 in r . Hence $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r,\rho)^2}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel $k(r,\rho)_t \in C^\infty(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^*)$, which is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) .

We denote by $e(r)_t$ the integral kernel of $E(r)_t$.

From the previous considerations and Prop. 7.6 we obtain the following estimate on the integral kernel:

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $c > 4$ and fixed ρ, r there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$, $\rho \in [-1, 1]$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(7.2) \quad |k(r, \rho)_t(x, y) - e(r)_t(x, y)| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} (1_{U_0 \cup U_1}(y) + e^{-\frac{d(U_0 \cup U_1, y)^2}{ct}}).$$

Analogous estimates hold for the first derivatives with respect to r, ρ, t and the partial derivatives with respect to x, y .

Proposition 7.7. *The operator $-\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$. For $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) . There is $C > 0$ such that on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ for t small*

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dr} e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} \right\| \leq C t^{1/2}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$

$$\|e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t}.$$

Proof. The operator $E(r)_t$ is strongly continuous in t . It fulfills the bounds in the assertion by the estimates in §4.1 and by estimate 6.1. Furthermore, since $E(r)_t$ is defined from the holomorphic semigroups on a closed manifold and the cylinder, on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ for t small

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} E(r)_t \right\| \leq C t^{-1}.$$

The estimate 7.2 shows that $E(r)_t - e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is well-defined as a bounded operator on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$. Furthermore it is of class C^1 with respect (r, ρ, t) and it and its first derivatives are bounded by $C t e^{\varepsilon t}$ for $t > 0$. It follows that $e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup fulfilling the estimates. This and the previous estimate imply that for t small

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} e^{-t\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} \right\| \leq C t^{-1}.$$

Thus, by Prop. 13.6, the semigroup is holomorphic. $\square$

8. THE SEMIGROUP ASSOCIATED TO THE SUPERCONNECTION

We define the superconnection associated to $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ by

$$\mathbf{A}(r, \rho) := P dP + \gamma + \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$$

and the rescaled superconnection by

$$\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t := P dP + \gamma + \sqrt{t} \mathcal{D}(r, \rho).$$

Later we will set $r = t$, however the following calculations are more transparent with both variables kept separate.

The curvature

$$\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2 = \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2 + [P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)] + (P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma)^2$$

is a nilpotent perturbation of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2$, and the difference $\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2 - \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2$ is bounded on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ resp. on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$. It follows that $e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2}$ is a holomorphic semigroup on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ and on $C_0(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1], C_0^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$. If N is the operator that multiplies a homogeneous element (with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$) of order m by m , then

$$e^{-\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t^2} = t^{-N/2} e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} t^{N/2}.$$

The key strategy for the study of $e^{-\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t^2}$ is as in the previous section the comparison with an appropriate approximation.

For $j = 0, 1, 2$ set $\mathbf{A}_j(r) = P_j \, \mathrm{d} P_j + \gamma_j + \mathcal{D}_j(r)$ and $\mathbf{A}_j(r)_t := P_j \, \mathrm{d} P_j + \gamma_j + \sqrt{t} \mathcal{D}_j(r)$. We define

$$H(r)_t := \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j e^{-\mathbf{A}_j(r)_t^2} \phi_j$$

and write $h(r)_t$ for its integral kernel.

Since $e^{-\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t^2}$ is not a semigroup, we cannot directly use Duhamel's principle as in the previous section. This makes the proof of the following proposition more technical.

Proposition 8.1. *The operator $e^{-\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t^2}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel $p(r, \rho)_t \in C^\infty(M \times M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i} (\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)^*)$, which is of class C^1 in (t, ρ, r) . The following estimates hold:*

- (1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $c > 4$ there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > \varepsilon$, $\rho \in [-1, 1]$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$|p(r, \rho)_t(x, y) - h(r)_t(x, y)| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} e^{-\frac{d(y, U_0 \cup U_1)^2}{ct}}.$$

- (2) *For $T > 0$ and $c > 4$ there is $C > 0$ such that for $0 < t < T$*

$$|p(0, 0)_t(x, y) - h(0)_t(x, y)| \leq Ct \sum_{j=0}^2 e^{-\frac{d(y, \text{supp } d\zeta_j)^2}{ct}} 1_{\text{supp } \phi_j}(y).$$

Analogous estimates hold for the partial derivatives with respect to x, y . The first estimate also holds for the first derivatives with respect to r, ρ .

Proof. In order to keep track of the rescaling process we use Volterra development.

With $B = [P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)] + (P \, \mathrm{d} P + \gamma)^2$ and

$$I_n(r, \rho, t) = \int_{\Delta^n} e^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} B e^{-u_1 t \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} \dots B e^{-u_n t \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)^2} du_0 du_1 \dots du_n$$

we have that

$$e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t^n I_n(r, \rho, t).$$

Only the first $\mu + 1$ terms of the series are non-trivial.

The operators $I_n(r, \rho, t)$ are bounded operators on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ resp. on $C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, which are of class C^1 in (r, ρ, t) for $t > 0$. The derivatives with respect to r and ρ converge for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prop. 7.6 and 7.7 imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ on $C_0([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ as well as on $C_0([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$

$$\|I_n(r, \rho, t)\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} .$$

In the following homogeneous components are taken with respect to the grading on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$. We define $I_n(r, \rho, t)_m$ to be the homogeneous component of degree m . It holds that $I_n(r, \rho, t)_m = 0$ for $m < n$ or $m > 2n$.

Furthermore we define implicitly operators $J_m(r, \rho, t)$, homogenous of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by

$$e^{-\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)_t^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} J_m(r, \rho, t) .$$

From

$$J_m(r, \rho, t) = \sum_{n=[(m+1)/2]}^m (-1)^n t^{n-m/2} I_n(r, \rho, t)_m$$

it follows that $J_m(r, \rho, t)$ is uniformly bounded for t small on $C_0([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ resp. on $C_0([-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, C^k(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$.

Let $W(r, t) := \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j e^{-t \mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j$ and for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $V_n^j(r, t)$ be the unique homogeneous operator of degree m such that

$$e^{-t \mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} V_m^j(r, t) .$$

Set $V_m(r, t) := \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j V_m^j(r, t) \phi_j$.

The operators $W(r, t)$, $V_m(r, t)$ are integral operators with smooth integral kernels for $t > 0$, which are of class C^1 in (ρ, r, t) .

Duhamel's principle yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
W(r, t) &= e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} \\
&= \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} \left(\frac{d}{ds} + \mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2 \right) W(r, t-s) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} (-\zeta_j \mathbf{A}_j(r)^2 + (\mathbf{A}(r, 0)^2 + \rho[P \, dP + \gamma, \mathfrak{K}] + \rho^2 \mathfrak{K}^2) \zeta_j) e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c(d\zeta_j) + c(d\zeta_j) \mathcal{D}_j \\
&\quad - [\mathcal{D}(r, 0), P \, dP + \gamma] \zeta_j + \zeta_j [P_j \, dP_j + \gamma_j, \mathcal{D}_j(r)]) e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j \\
&\quad + \rho \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} ([P \, dP + \gamma, \mathfrak{K}] + \rho \mathfrak{K}^2) \zeta_j e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c(d\zeta_j) + c(d\zeta_j) \mathcal{D}_j) e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j \\
&\quad + \rho \sum_{j=0}^1 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} ([P \, dP + \gamma, \mathfrak{K}] + \rho \mathfrak{K}^2) \zeta_j e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j .
\end{aligned}$$

The rescaled operator

$$t^{-N/2} \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_0^t e^{-s\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} ([P \, dP + \gamma, \mathfrak{K}] + \rho \mathfrak{K}^2) \zeta_j e^{-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j t^{N/2}$$

is an integral operator whose integral kernel is supported in $M \times (U_0 \cup U_1)$ and bounded in by $Ce^{\varepsilon t}$ uniformly in $(\rho, r) \in [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ for $t > \varepsilon$.

By using that

$$e^{-t\mathbf{A}(r, \rho)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n/2} J_n(r, \rho, t)$$

one gets that the degree n component of the second before last line equals, after rescaling,

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^2 t^{-n/2} \int_0^t s^{(n-m)/2} (t-s)^{m/2} J_{n-m}(r, \rho, s) (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c(d\zeta_j) + c(d\zeta_j) \mathcal{D}_j) V_m^j(r, t-s) \phi_j \, ds .$$

The first assumption follows from the off-diagonal estimates on the cylinder, Prop. 6.5. We can apply them since $(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c(d\zeta_j) + c(d\zeta_j) \mathcal{D}_j) V_m^j(r, t) \phi_j$ since it is the homogeneous part of degree m of $t^{-N/2} (\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c(d\zeta_j) + c(d\zeta_j) \mathcal{D}_j) e^{-t\mathbf{A}_j(r)^2} \phi_j t^{N/2}$. For the second assumption we also use also the off-diagonal estimates for the heat kernel on a closed manifold, which follow from the asymptotic development [BGV, Ch. 2]. $\square$

9. THE INDEX THEOREM

We prove the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem using the approach of [BK]. The notions of Hilbert–Schmidt operators and trace class operators used in the following have been defined in [W1].

At this point we set $r = t$.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with $\alpha(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 0$ and $\alpha(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$ and set $\alpha_R(x) = \alpha(x - R)$. Then α_R defines a function on M , again denoted by α_R , that equals 1 on M_c and $\alpha_R(x_1)$ on the cylindric end.

Assume that K is a bounded operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ such that $\alpha_R K \alpha_R$ is trace class for any $R > 0$. Define

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_s K := \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s(\alpha_R K \alpha_R)$$

provided the limit exists. The definition does not depend of the choice of α .

The following properties are easy to verify.

- (1) If K is a trace class operator, then $\mathrm{Tr}'_s K = \mathrm{Tr}_s K$.
- (2) Let K be an integral operator. If $\mathrm{Tr}'_s K$ exists, then $\mathrm{Tr}'_s[P \, dP + \gamma, K]$ exists as well and equals $d \, \mathrm{Tr}'_s K$.
- (3) Let K_1, K_2 be integral operators with continuous integral kernels k_1, k_2 . Assume that one of the following conditions holds:
 - (a) The function $(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{tr}_s(k_1(x, y)k_2(y, x))$ is compactly supported on $M \times M$.
 - (b) There are $f, g \in L^1(M), h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $|k_1(x, y)| \leq f(y) + h(d(x, y))$ and $|k_2(x, y)| \leq g(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$.
 Then $\mathrm{Tr}'_s[K_1, K_2] = 0$.

Proposition 9.1. *The expressions*

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}$$

are well-defined for $t > 0$.

Furthermore there are $C, \delta > 0$ such that for all $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} - \mathrm{Tr}_s \alpha_t e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \alpha_t| &\leq C e^{-\delta t}, \\ |\mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} - \mathrm{Tr}_s \alpha_t \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \alpha_t| &\leq C e^{-\delta t}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Prop. 8.1 and since $\mathrm{tr}_s h(t)_t(x, x) = 0$ for $x \in Z^+$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $c > 4$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}_s p(t, \rho)_t(x, x)| \leq C e^{\varepsilon t} e^{-\frac{d(x, U_0 \cup U_1)}{c t}}$$

for all $x \in M$, $t > \varepsilon$.

In particular $\mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}$ is well defined.

Furthermore here are $C, \delta > 0$ such that for $t > 1$

$$\int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{s^2}{ct}} ds < C e^{-\delta t}.$$

Choose $\varepsilon < \delta$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 1$

$$|\mathrm{Tr}'_s(1 - \alpha_t^2)e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}| < C e^{(\varepsilon - \delta)t}.$$

The proof for $\mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}$ is analogous. $\square$

9.1. The limit $t \rightarrow \infty$. In the following we fix $\rho \neq 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}$ is the projection onto the kernel of $\mathcal{D}(r, \rho)$ for $r > 2$. (Clearly $\mathcal{P}$ does not depend on $r > 2$). The second estimate of the following theorem is not used in the proof of the index theorem, however it is useful, for example, for the definition of η -forms on a manifold with cylindric ends and therefore is included here.

Theorem 9.2. *There is $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 2$*

$$|\mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} - \mathrm{Tr}_s e^{-\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}}| \leq C t^{-1/2}$$

and

$$|\mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}| \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = 1 - \mathcal{P}_0$.

For the first estimate the proof of [BGV, Theorem 9.19] works in slightly modified form. We sketch the argument. Let $\mathcal{N}_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the nilpotent algebra of trace class operators on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ that increase the degree with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ by at least m and that are of the form $\sum_j f_j g_j^*$ with $f_j, g_j \in \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$. Then, by an adaption of [BGV, Lemma 9.21], there is $g \in 1 + \mathcal{N}_1$, such that

$$g\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)^2 g^{-1} = (\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_0)^2 + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{D}(t, \rho)^2 \mathcal{P}_1 + B_0 + B_1$$

with $B_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{N}_3 \mathcal{P}_0$ and $B_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1 [P \mathcal{D} P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}(t, \rho)] \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{P}_1$.

Recall that $\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2 = t^{-N/2+1} \mathbf{A}(t, \rho)^2 t^{N/2}$. Define $g_t = t^{-N/2} g t^{N/2}$. Then

$$g_t \mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2 g_t^{-1} = t^{-N/2+1} g \mathbf{A}(t, \rho)^2 g^{-1} t^{N/2}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} &= \mathrm{Tr}'_s \exp(t^{-N/2+1} g \mathbf{A}(t, \rho)^2 g^{-1} t^{N/2}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_s \exp(-(\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_0)^2 - t^{-N/2+1} B_0 t^{N/2}) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{Tr}'_s \exp(-t \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{D}(t, \rho)^2 - t^{-N/2+1} B_1 t^{N/2}). \end{aligned}$$

By Volterra development there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > 2$

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_s \exp(-(\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_0)^2 - t^{-N/2+1} B_0 t^{N/2}) - \mathrm{Tr}_s e^{-(\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_0)^2}| \leq C t^{-1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-t\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2 - t^{-N/2+1}B_1t^{N/2}) \\ &= t^{-N/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t^n \int_{\Delta^n} \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} B_1 \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_1 t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} \\ & \quad \dots B_1 \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_n t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} du_0 du_1 \dots du_n t^{N/2} . \end{aligned}$$

We can decompose the right hand side further by decomposing $B_1 = B_{11} + B_{12}$ with $B_{11} = \mathcal{P}_1[P \, \text{d} \, P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)]\mathcal{P}_1$ and $B_{12} \in \mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{P}_1$. Any term in the development having a factor B_{12} is a trace class operator whose supertrace vanishes exponentially for $t \rightarrow \infty$ by Prop. 7.6 (5).

As in the proof of [W1, Lemma 4.4.5] one shows that there are $C, \delta > 0$ such that for $t > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}_s \alpha_t^2 \int_{\Delta^n} \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_0 t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} B_{11} \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_1 t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} \dots \\ & \quad \dots B_{11} \mathcal{P}_1 e^{-u_n t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)^2} du_0 du_1 \dots du_n| \leq C e^{-\delta t} . \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the last statement uses that $[\alpha_R, [P \, \text{d} \, P + \gamma, \mathcal{D}(t,\rho)]] = 0$ for R big enough.

By Prop. 9.1 this implies the assertion.

The second estimate is the analogue of [BGV, Theorem 9.23]. For $t > 2$ we have that

$$\frac{d\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} t^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) .$$

The assertion follows as above by using that

$$\begin{aligned} g_t \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) g_t^{-1} &= t^{-N/2} g \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) g^{-1} t^{N/2} \\ &\in \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) + t^{-1/2} (\mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) + \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) \mathcal{N}_1) + t^{-1} \mathcal{N}_1 \end{aligned}$$

and that $\mathcal{P}_0 \mathcal{D}(t,\rho) = 0$. □

9.2. Variation formulas. Recall the definition of $\mathbf{A}^N(r)_t$ from the end of §5.

Proposition 9.3. *We have that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr}_\sigma \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} - \text{d} \text{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \text{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2} = -\text{d} \text{Tr}_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t}{d\rho} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2} .$$

Proof. Since by §6.1 the supertrace of $\frac{d}{dt} h(t)_t(x, x)$ vanishes for $x \in M \setminus (U_0 \cup U_1)$, it follows from Prop. 8.1 that there are $c, C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr}_s p(t,\rho)_t(x, x) \right| \leq C e^{-cd(x, U_0 \cup U_1)^2}$$

uniformly for t in a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2} = \text{Tr}_s \frac{d}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t,\rho)_t^2} .$$

As in the proof of [W1, Lemma 4.4.9] chain rule and Duhamel's formula (see Prop. 13.12) imply that

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} = - \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} e^{-s\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} ds$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} = - \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{d\rho} e^{-s\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} ds .$$

In the following $t > 0$ is fixed.

We set

$$A(s) = e^{-s\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} - \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j e^{-s\mathbf{A}_j(t)_t^2} \phi_j$$

and

$$B(s) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \zeta_j e^{-s\mathbf{A}_j(t)_t^2} \phi_j .$$

Then

$$(9.1) \quad \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} e^{-s\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} ds$$

$$(9.2) \quad = \int_0^1 e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} A(s) ds$$

$$(9.3) \quad + \int_0^1 A(1-s) \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} A(s) ds$$

$$(9.4) \quad + \int_0^1 B(1-s) \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} B(s) ds .$$

We treat the first term on the right hand side of the equation. We denote the integral kernel of $A(s)$ by $A(s)(x, y)$ and similarly for other integral operators.

By (a slight modification of) Prop. 8.1 there are $C, c > 0$ such that for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in M$

$$|(e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} A(s))(x, x)| \leq C e^{-cd(x, U_0 \cup U_1)^2} .$$

Thus we can interchange Tr'_s and integration.

Furthermore for fixed s, t there are $C, c > 0$ such that

$$|e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}(x, y)| \leq C(e^{-cd(x, y)^2} + e^{-cd(y, U_0 \cup U_1)^2}) .$$

Similarly for fixed s, t there are $C, c > 0$ such that

$$|(\frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} A(s))(x, y)| \leq C e^{-cd(y, U_0 \cup U_1)^2} .$$

Thus property (3) of Tr'_s (see the beginning of §9) implies that

$$\text{Tr}'_s[e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}, \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} A(s)] = 0 .$$

Similar arguments hold for the second term.

For the third term one checks that for all $x, y \in M \setminus (U_0 \cup U_1)$

$$\mathrm{tr}_s B(1-s)(x, y) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} B(s) \right) (y, x) = 0 .$$

Again, property (3) of Tr'_s implies that

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_s [B(1-s), \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} B(s)] = 0 .$$

Summarizing and evaluating, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} &= - \int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-(1-s)\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} e^{-s\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} ds \\ &= - \mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} \\ &= - \mathrm{Tr}'_s [\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t, \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] \\ &= - \mathrm{Tr}'_s [P dP + \gamma, \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] - \sqrt{t} \mathrm{Tr}'_s [\mathcal{D}(t, \rho), \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] \\ &= - d \mathrm{Tr}'_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} + \sqrt{t} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s c(d\alpha_R) \frac{d\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t^2} . \end{aligned}$$

See the proof of [W1, Lemma 4.4.10] for omitted details.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_s c(d\alpha_R) \frac{d\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t^2} &= \mathrm{Tr}_s \alpha'_R \frac{d(\sqrt{t}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_N + \psi(t)\tilde{A}))}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} t^{-1/2} \mathrm{Tr}_s (\alpha'_R \partial_1 e^{-\mathbf{A}_2(t)_t^2}) . \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side vanishes since $\partial_1 e^{-(x_1 - y_1)^2/4t}$ vanishes on the diagonal. By using eq. 6.2 and 6.3 and that

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha'_R(x_1) dx_1 = -1$$

one sees that the first term on the right hand side equals

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma \frac{d(\sqrt{t}(\mathcal{D}_N + \psi(t)A))}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} .$$

This implies the first assertion of the proposition.

The equation

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \mathrm{Tr}_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} = - \mathrm{Tr}_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}{d\rho} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}$$

is proven by similar but simpler arguments as above.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\rho} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} &= - \mathrm{Tr}'_s [\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t, \frac{d\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t}{d\rho} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] \\ &= - \sqrt{t} \mathrm{Tr}_s [P dP + \gamma, \mathfrak{K} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] - t \mathrm{Tr}_s [\mathcal{D}(t, \rho), \mathfrak{K} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] \\ &= - \sqrt{t} d \mathrm{Tr}_s \mathfrak{K} e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2} . \end{aligned}$$

We used that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[\mathcal{D}(t, \rho), \mathfrak{K}e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] = \mathrm{Tr}_s[\mathcal{D}(t, \rho)\mathfrak{K}, e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, \rho)_t^2}] = 0 .$$

□

9.3. The theorem. The proof of the index theorem is now as usual. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ from §3. In the following M denotes the manifold without the isolated point, as in the beginning of §3.

Theorem 9.4. *In $H_*^{\mathcal{I}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty})$ it holds that*

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+) = (2\pi i)^{-n/2} \int_M \hat{A}(M) \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}/S) - \eta(\mathfrak{D}_N, A) .$$

Proof. By the remarks at the end of §3 for $r > 2$, $\rho = 1$

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)^+) = \mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{Ker} \mathcal{D}(r, \rho)) - \mathcal{N}$$

By Theorem 9.2 and Prop. 13.3

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{Ker} \mathcal{D}(3, 1)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, 1)_t^2} .$$

For $0 < s < 1 < t$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, 1)_t^2} - \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(s, 0)_s^2} &= \int_s^1 \frac{d}{du} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(u, 0)_u^2} du \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\rho} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(1, \rho)_1^2} d\rho \\ &+ \int_{u=1}^t \frac{d}{du} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(u, 1)_u^2} du . \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Prop. 9.3) that modulo exact forms

$$\mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(t, 1)_t^2} - \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(s, 0)_s^2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_s^t \mathrm{Tr}_{\sigma} \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} .$$

Furthermore, by Prop. 8.1 and by the small time limit from §4.3

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Tr}'_s e^{-\mathbf{A}(s, 0)_s^2} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Tr}'_s H(s)_s \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-n/2} \int_M \hat{A}(M) \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}/S) + \mathcal{N} . \end{aligned}$$

Here we also used that the supertrace of the heat kernel vanishes on the cylinder. The summand $\mathcal{N}$ comes from the isolated point. □

We can now define the η -form for trivializing operators, which are not adapted to $\mathcal{D}_N$. It is well-defined up to (the closure of the space of) exact forms.

Definition 9.5. *Let A be a trivializing operator of $\mathcal{D}_N$. A symmetric operator $\mathfrak{A}_A$ adapted to $\mathcal{D}_N$ is called an adapted approximation of A if on $L^2(N, \mathcal{E}^N)$*

$$\|(A - \mathfrak{A}_A)\mathcal{D}_N^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{2} .$$

There is always an adapted approximation $\mathfrak{A}_A$. We can even demand that $\mathfrak{A}_A$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(N \times N, \mathcal{E}_\infty \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \mathcal{E}_\infty^*)$.

If A is a trivializing operator of $\mathcal{D}_N$ which is not adapted, we may set

$$\eta(\mathcal{D}_N, A) := \eta(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathfrak{A}_A) .$$

The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem on the cylinder implies that modulo the closure of the space of exact forms the definition does not depend on the choice of $\mathfrak{A}_A$, and also not on the choice of ψ (see the end of §5 for that choice).

With this definition the index theorem holds also if A is not adapted, since $\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}(A)^+ = \text{ind } \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{A}_A)^+$.

10. PRODUCT FORMULA FOR η -FORMS

In the following we prove a product formula for η -forms. Such a formula was derived in [LP4, §2] under the assumption that the Dirac operator is invertible. Also the equality established in [MP2, Lemma 6] (translated from family index theory to the present setting) concerning suspended η -forms and the product formulas proven in [PS, §4] are special cases of our formula.

We assume now that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ for unital C^* -algebras $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$. Here we use the spatial tensor product. Let $\mathcal{A}_i$ be as before and endow $\mathcal{B}_i := \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}_i := \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{C}$ with the subspace topology of $\mathcal{A}_i$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_i$ resp. $\mathcal{C}_i$ are closed under holomorphic functional calculus in $\mathcal{B}$ resp. $\mathcal{C}$. We assume that the projective limit $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ resp. $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is dense in $\mathcal{B}$ resp. $\mathcal{C}$. We define by $\mathcal{I}_i$ the closed ideal in $\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_i$ generated by the supercommutators $[\alpha, \beta]$ with $\alpha \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{B}_i$ and $\beta \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{C}_i$. In the following we deal with $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{A}_i$.

Let N_1 be an odd-dimensional and N_2 an even-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let $N = N_1 \times N_2$, and let E_1 resp. E_2 be a Dirac bundle over N_1 resp. N_2 . We denote the grading operator on E_2 by Γ_2 . In the following E_2 will be considered as an ungraded bundle. Set $E_N = E_1 \boxtimes E_2$.

Furthermore let $P_1 \in C^\infty(N_1, M_m(\mathcal{B}_\infty))$ and $P_2 \in C^\infty(N_2, M_n(\mathcal{C}_\infty))$ be projections and set $P = P_1 P_2 \in C^\infty(N, M_{m+n}(\mathcal{A}_\infty))$. We define $\mathcal{E}^1 = E_1 \otimes P_1(N_1 \times \mathcal{B}^m)$, $\mathcal{E}^2 = E_2 \otimes P_2(N_2 \times \mathcal{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^1 \boxtimes \mathcal{E}^2$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{N_1}, \mathcal{D}_{N_2}$ be the Dirac operators associated to $\mathcal{E}^1, \mathcal{E}^2$ and let

$$\mathcal{D}_N = \Gamma_2 \mathcal{D}_{N_1} + \mathcal{D}_{N_2} .$$

The operator $\mathcal{D}_N$ is a Dirac operator associated to $\mathcal{E}$.

If A is a trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_{N_1}$, then $\hat{A} := \Gamma_2(A \otimes 1)$ is a trivializing operator for $\mathcal{D}_N$. We assume that A resp. $\hat{A}$ is adapted to $\mathcal{D}_{N_1}$ resp. $\mathcal{D}_N$. (This is the case, for example, if A is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^\infty(N_1 \times N_1, \mathcal{E}_\infty^1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}_\infty} (\mathcal{E}_\infty^1)^*)$. Here we use that we have divided out the ideal $\mathcal{I}_i$.)

Proposition 10.1. *In $\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty$ modulo the closure of $[\hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_*^{\mathcal{I}_\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty] + (\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{B}_\infty) d(\hat{\Omega}_{od} \mathcal{C}_\infty)$ it holds that*

$$\eta(\mathcal{D}_N, \hat{A}) = \eta(\mathcal{D}_{N_1}, A) \text{ch}(\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{N_2}^+) .$$

The proof is very similar to the proof in [LP4, §2], and is given here for completeness.

The proposition holds still true for other parities of $\dim N_1$ and $\dim N_2$, if $\hat{A}$ is as defined in [W3] and if one takes the appropriate η -form. See §11 for the definition of the η -form in the even-dimensional case.

Here $\eta(\mathcal{D}_N, \hat{A})$ and $\eta(\mathcal{D}_{N_1}, A)$ are defined using the same function ψ . Otherwise the equality holds only up to exact forms (see the end of §5).

Proof. We write d_1 for the differential on $\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{B}_i$ and d_2 for the differential on $\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{C}_i$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t &:= P_1 d_1 P_1 + \sqrt{t} \sigma \Gamma_2 (\mathcal{D}_{N_1} + \psi(t) A) , \\ \mathbf{B}_t^{N_2} &:= P_2 d_2 P_2 + \sqrt{t} \sigma \mathcal{D}_{N_2} . \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t = \mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t + \mathbf{B}_t^{N_2}$ and $\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2 = (\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t)^2 + (\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2$.

Furthermore the curvatures $\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2$ and $(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2$ commute. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} &= \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} \\ &\quad + \sigma e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2}}{dt} e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} . \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side anticommutes with Γ_2 . Thus

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\sigma \sigma e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} \frac{d\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2}}{dt} e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} = 0 .$$

Now consider the first term. There are integral operators a_1, b_1 on $L^2(N_1, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^1)$ and a_2, b_2 on $L^2(N_2, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i^2)$ such that

$$\sigma \Gamma_2 \frac{d\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} = (a_1 \otimes 1) + \sigma \Gamma_2 (b_1 \otimes 1)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} = 1 \otimes a_2 + \sigma (1 \otimes b_2) .$$

Note that a_1, a_2 are even, whereas b_1, b_2 are odd (with respect to grading on the algebra of noncommutative differential forms). It follows from $\Gamma_2 [P_2 d_2 P_2, \mathcal{D}_{N_2}] = -[P_2 d_2 P_2, \mathcal{D}_{N_2}] \Gamma_2$ by Volterra development that $\Gamma_2 b_2 = -b_2 \Gamma_2$. Hence $\mathrm{Tr}(\Gamma_2 b_1 b_2) = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} &= \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma ((a_1 + \sigma \Gamma_2 b_1)(a_2 + \sigma b_2)) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(a_1 a_2) + \mathrm{Tr}(\Gamma_2 b_1 b_2) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(a_1) \mathrm{Tr}(a_2) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma (a_1 + \sigma b_1) \mathrm{Tr}_\sigma (a_2 + \sigma b_2) . \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$a_1 + \sigma b_1 = \sigma \frac{d\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{B}^{N_1}(t)_t^2} .$$

Set $\mathbf{A}_t^{N_2} := P_2 d_2 P_2 + \sqrt{t} \mathcal{D}_{N_2}$ and define $e^{-(\mathbf{A}_t^{N_2})^2}$ by considering $\mathcal{E}^2$ a graded vector bundle with grading operator Γ_2 . One easily checks that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\sigma \Gamma_2 e^{-(\mathbf{B}_t^{N_2})^2} = \mathrm{Tr}_s e^{-(\mathbf{A}_t^{N_2})^2} .$$

By the index theorem and Prop. 9.3 applied to $\mathcal{D}_{N_2}$ we get that $\text{Tr}_s e^{-(\mathbf{A}_t^{N_2})^2} = \text{ch}(\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{N_2}^+)$ after dividing out the even forms in $d(\hat{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{C}_\infty)/[\hat{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{C}_\infty), \hat{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{C}_\infty)])$.

This implies the assertion. $\square$

11. THE ODD INDEX THEOREM

In the following we prove an analogue of the odd family index for manifolds with boundary [MP2]. The setting is again as in §2, but now we assume that M is odd-dimensional and E ungraded. On the cylindric end the Dirac operator is then of the form

$$\partial_{\mathcal{E}} = c(dx_1)(\partial_1 - \partial_N) .$$

The bundle $\mathcal{E}^N$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded with grading operator $\Gamma_N = ic(dx_1)$.

Let A be an adapted trivialising operator for ∂_N . We assume that A is odd with respect to Γ_N . As in §2 one gets that the closure $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ of $\partial_{\mathcal{E}} - c(dx_1)\chi A$ is Fredholm. Its index is an element in $K_1(\mathcal{A})$.

Define $\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t = P d P + \sqrt{t}(\mathcal{D}_N + \psi(t)A)$. The η -form is defined as

$$\eta(\partial_N, A) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \text{Tr}_s \frac{d\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t}{dt} e^{-\mathbf{A}^N(t)_t^2} dt \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty] .$$

The odd Chern character $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} : K_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathcal{I}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ is determined up to sign by the following commuting diagramm:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes K_1(C(S^1)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S^1, \mathcal{A})) \\ \downarrow \text{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \otimes \text{ch}^{S^1} & & \downarrow \text{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}^{S^1} \\ H_*^{\mathcal{I}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \otimes H^*(S^1) & \xrightarrow{=} & H_*^{\mathcal{I}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \otimes H^*(S^1) \end{array} .$$

The diagram determines also ch^{S^1} up to sign:

Let $u : S^1 \rightarrow U(1)$ be a loop with winding number 1. We identify S^1 with $[0, 1]/_{0 \sim 1}$ and define on S^1 the $C(S^1)$ -line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} = [0, 1] \times C(S^1) /_{(0, uv) \sim (1, v)} .$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ the closure of the Dirac operator $-i\partial_x$ on S^1 twisted by $\mathcal{L}$.

The Chern character $\text{ch}^{S^1 \times S^1} : K_0(C(S^1 \times S^1)) \rightarrow H^*(S^1 \times S^1)$ applied to $\mathcal{L}$, considered as a complex vector bundle on $S^1 \times S^1$, fulfills

$$\int_{S^1 \times S^1} \text{ch}^{S^1 \times S^1}(\mathcal{L}) = 2\pi i .$$

The class $\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a generator of $K_1(C(S^1))$. The above commuting diagram implies that

$$\int_{S^1} \text{ch}^{S^1}(\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}) = \sqrt{2\pi i} .$$

The sign of the odd Chern character is fixed by demanding that this equation holds for $\sqrt{i} := e^{\pi i/4}$.

Theorem 11.1. *In $H_*^{\mathcal{T}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ it holds that*

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}(A)) = (2\pi i)^{-n/2} \int_M \hat{A}(M) \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{E}/S) - \eta(\not\partial_N, A) .$$

In [MP2] a different normalization of the odd Chern character has been used, leading to a factor $(2\pi i)^{-(n+1)/2}$ in front of the integral.

Proof. The idea of the proof is as in [MP2], namely to reduce the odd index theorem to the even index theorem via suspension. There are two main steps: a suspension formula for index classes [MP2, Prop. 8] and a suspension formula for η -forms [MP2, Lemma 6]. Probably the proof of the suspension formulas given in [MP2] works also in the present context. Here we derive them from the more general product formulas for Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index classes (see [W3]) and η -forms (see §10) respectively.

On $M \times S^1$ we define the $C(S^1, \mathcal{A})$ -vector bundle

$$\mathcal{E}^{M \times S^1} := (\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes \mathcal{L} .$$

Let $\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ act on it.

The Dirac operator

$$\not\partial_{M \times S^1} := \Gamma_1 \not\partial_\mathcal{E} + \Gamma_2 \not\partial_\mathcal{L}$$

is odd with respect to the grading $\Gamma_{M \times S^1} = -i\Gamma_1\Gamma_2$.

Set $\mathcal{E}^{N \times S^1} = (\mathcal{E}^{M \times S^1})^+$. The space $L^2(N \times S^1, \mathcal{E}^{N \times S^1})$ is spanned by sections of the form $(x, x) \otimes y$ with $x \in L^2(N, \mathcal{E})$ and $y \in L^2(S^1, \mathcal{L})$.

Define the Dirac operator $\not\partial_{N \times S^1} := \not\partial_N + \Gamma_N \not\partial_\mathcal{L}$, which acts on the sections of $\mathcal{E}^{N \times S^1}$. Then

$$\not\partial_{M \times S^1} = c(dx_1)(\partial_1 - \Gamma_{M \times S^1} \not\partial_{N \times S^1}) .$$

As in [W3, §2.3] we define a trivialising operator $\hat{A}$ of $\not\partial_{N \times S^1}$ by

$$\hat{A}((x, x) \otimes y) = (Ax, Ax) \otimes y .$$

We get a Fredholm operator $\mathcal{D}_{M \times S^1}(\hat{A})$, see §3.

By the product formula for Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index classes [W3]

$$\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_{M \times S^1}(\hat{A})^+ = \mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}(A)) \otimes \mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{L}) \in K_0(C(S^1, \mathcal{A})) .$$

Thus

$$\sqrt{2\pi i} \, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}(\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{E}(A)) = \int_{S^1} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}^{S^1}(\mathrm{ind} \mathcal{D}_{M \times S^1}(\hat{A})^+) .$$

Furthermore by the product formula for η -forms, see §10,

$$\sqrt{2\pi i} \, \eta(\not\partial_N, A) = \eta(\not\partial_{N \times S^1}, \hat{A}) .$$

Now the assertion follows from Theorem 9.4 since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^1} \int_{M \times S^1} \hat{A}(M \times S^1) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}^{M \times S^1}(\mathcal{E}^{M \times S^1} / S_{M \times S^1}) \operatorname{ch}^{S^1 \times S^1}(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \int_M \hat{A}(M) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}^M(\mathcal{E}/S) \int_{S^1 \times S^1} \operatorname{ch}^{S^1 \times S^1}(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= 2\pi i \int_M \hat{A}(M) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}^M(\mathcal{E}/S) . \end{aligned}$$

□

12. APPLICATION: ρ -INVARIANTS

For the sake of brevity we assume in the following that the manifold N is odd-dimensional. The even-dimensional case can be treated analogously.

12.1. ρ -invariants for the Dirac operator on manifolds with positive scalar curvature. Let N be a closed spin manifold with positive scalar curvature. Let $\pi : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ be a Galois covering of N and let Γ be the group of deck transformations.

The higher ρ -form of N was introduced by Lott [Lol]. In the higher context the C^* -algebra involved is the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*\Gamma$. Closely related are the classical ρ -invariants, which however in general come from a representation of the maximal group C^* -algebra $C^*\Gamma$, see [PS] for a detailed account. The following discussion unifies these concepts and allows for the definition and study of new ρ -invariants.

In the following let $\mathcal{A} = C^*\Gamma$. We assume that the projective system $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is such that $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \subset \mathcal{A}_\infty$. For the reduced group C^* -algebra $C_r^*\Gamma$ a suitable projective system of algebras $(\mathcal{B}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be derived from a construction of Connes–Moscovici [CM], see for example [W2, §4] for a detailed account. There is a canonical surjection $p : C^*\Gamma \rightarrow C_r^*\Gamma$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{A}_i := p^{-1}\mathcal{B}_i$ with norm $\|a\|_{\mathcal{A}_i} := \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|p(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a Banach algebra closed under holomorphic functional calculus in $C^*\Gamma$ and hence $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is an appropriate projective system.

Denote by $\mathcal{P} = \tilde{N} \times_\Gamma C^*\Gamma$ the Mishenko–Fomenko $\mathcal{A}$ -vector bundle endowed with the $C^*\Gamma$ -valued scalar product induced by the standard $C^*\Gamma$ -valued scalar product on $C^*\Gamma$. Let $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the flat connection on $\mathcal{P}$ induced by the de Rham differential and let $\not{D}_N$ be the spin Dirac operator on N twisted by $\mathcal{P}$.

We recall the construction of an embedding of $\mathcal{P}$ into a trivial $C^*\Gamma$ -vector bundle: Choose a finite connected open cover $\{U_k\}_{k=1, \dots, n}$ of N such that for any k there is a diffeomorphism $\pi^{-1}U_k \cong U_k \times \Gamma$, which we fix. We write $\tilde{U}_k$ for the preimage of $U_k \times \{e\}$ under this diffeomorphism. Let $g_{kl} : U_k \cap U_l \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the locally constant function whose image at a point x is the deck transformation sending $\tilde{U}_l \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$ to $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \tilde{U}_k$. Note that $g_{kl}g_{lm} = g_{km}$ and $g_{kk} = 1$. Let $(\chi_k^2)_{k=1, \dots, n}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_k\}$ and let $\tilde{\chi}_k : \tilde{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function that equals $\chi_k \circ \pi$ on $\tilde{U}_k$ and zero elsewhere. Define the projection $P \in C^\infty(M, M_n(\mathbb{C}\Gamma))$ by setting $P_{kl} = \chi_k \chi_l g_{kl}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $P(N \times (C^*\Gamma)^n)$ via

$$C^\infty(\tilde{N}, C^*\Gamma)^\Gamma \rightarrow P(C^\infty(N, (C^*\Gamma)^n)), \quad s \mapsto (\tilde{\chi}_1 s, \tilde{\chi}_2 s, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_n s) .$$

One checks that $\nabla_{\mathcal{P}} = Pd_N P$. Note that ∂_N is invertible. Thus $\eta(\partial_N, 0)$, which we define using the Grassmanian connection PdP in direction of $\mathcal{A}_i$, exists.

In the following we deal with the universal differential algebra (thus $\mathcal{I}_i = 0$).

Let $c : (\mathcal{A}_{\infty}/\mathbb{C})^{\otimes_{\pi}^{m+1}} \rightarrow V$ be a continuous reduced cyclic cocycle on $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ with values in a Fréchet space V such that $c(g_0, \dots, g_m) = 0$ for all $(g_0, \dots, g_m) \in \Gamma^{m+1}$ with $g_0 g_1 \dots g_m = 1$. There is an induced linear map $c : \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty} / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}] \rightarrow V$ defined by $c(a_0 da_1 \dots da_m) := c(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and by zero on forms of degree not equal to m . The map vanishes on exact forms. We define the maximal ρ -invariant associated to c as

$$\rho_c^{max}(\partial_N) := c(\eta(\partial_N, 0)) \in V.$$

Lemma 12.1. *The ρ -invariant $\rho_c^{max}(\partial_N)$ is independent of the choice of the cover and the partition of unity.*

Proof. Let $(U'_k)_{k=1, \dots, p}$ be a second open cover of N and let $P' = (\chi'_k g'_{kl} \chi'_l)_{k,l=1, \dots, p}$ be a projection constructed as above. Define $\tilde{U}'_k$ as above. For $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $l = 1, \dots, n$ let $h_{kl} : U'_k \cap U_l \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the locally constant function whose value is at x is the decktransformation mapping $\tilde{U}_l \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$ to $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \tilde{U}'_k$. Then $U = (\chi'_k h_{kl} \chi_l)_{k=1, \dots, p; l=1, \dots, n}$ is a unitary from $\text{Ran } P$ to $\text{Ran } P'$ and $U^* P' dP' U = P dP + U^* d(U)$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that vanishes for $x < 1/6$ and equals 1 for $x > 5/6$. Set $Z = \mathbb{R} \times N$. Define $\gamma(t, x) = \psi(t) U^* d(U)(x)$ for $(t, x) \in Z$. By the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem applied to the product Dirac operator on Z the difference of the ρ -invariants defined using P and P' equals $\int_Z \hat{A}(Z) c(\text{ch}(Pd_Z P + P dP + \gamma))$. Using that $g_{k_1 k_2} (h_{k_3 k_2})^{-1} g'_{k_3 k_4} h_{k_4 k_5} g_{k_5 k_6}$ equals $g_{k_1 k_6}$ on its domain, one checks that $c(\text{ch}(Pd_Z P + P dP + \gamma))$ vanishes. $\square$

From the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem we get the following generalization of [LP1, Theorem 15.1]:

Proposition 12.2. *Let M be a compact spin manifold with boundary N and product structure near the boundary. Let $\tilde{M}$ be a Galois covering of M with deck transformation group Γ . Assume that the metric on M and its restriction to N are of positive scalar curvature. Then $\rho_c^{max}(\partial_N) = 0$.*

By using the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem and the product formula for η -forms of the previous sections instead of the corresponding higher versions of Leichtnam–Piazza, the proof of [PS, Prop. 13.9] works also in the present setting, yielding:

Proposition 12.3. *Let Γ be torsion-free. Assume that the assembly map $\mu : K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C^*\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism. Then $\rho_c^{max}(\partial_N) = 0$.*

Example: Let $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow U(l)$ be two unitary representations and let F_1, F_2 be the associated flat vector bundles on N . For $j = 1, 2$ let $\tilde{\eta}_{F_j}(N) \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty} / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}]$ be the noncommutative η -form of the Dirac operator ∂_N twisted by the flat $C^*\Gamma$ -bundle $F_j \otimes \mathcal{P}$. We define the maximal Atiyah-Patodi-Singer ρ -form

$$\rho_{\pi_1, \pi_2}(N) := \tilde{\eta}_{F_1}(N) - \tilde{\eta}_{F_2}(N) \in \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty} / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_{\infty}].$$

Let $b : (\mathcal{A}_\infty/\mathbb{C})^{\otimes_\pi^{m+1}} \rightarrow V$ be an arbitrary continuous reduced cyclic cocycle and set

$$c = b \circ \text{Tr} \circ (\pi_1 \otimes id)_* - b \circ \text{Tr} \circ (\pi_2 \otimes id)_* : (\mathcal{A}_\infty/\mathbb{C})^{\otimes_\pi^{m+1}} \rightarrow V .$$

Here $(\pi_j \otimes id)_* : \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty] \rightarrow M_l(\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty / [\hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty, \hat{\Omega}_* \mathcal{A}_\infty])$ is induced by the homomorphism $\pi_j \otimes id : C^* \Gamma \rightarrow C^*(U(l) \otimes \Gamma) = M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C^* \Gamma$. Then

$$b(\rho_{\pi_1, \pi_2}(N)) = \rho_c^{max}(\not{D}_N) .$$

Thus the previous results apply to $b(\rho_{\pi_1, \pi_2}(N))$.

12.2. ρ -invariants for the signature operator. In a similar spirit we study ρ -invariants for the signature operator. Our definition is motivated by the definition of higher ρ -invariants suggested in [Lo1]. The trivializing perturbation we use is essentially from [LP5].

Denote by $\Omega^*(N, \mathcal{P})$ the space of smooth forms with values in $\mathcal{P}$ and by $\Omega_{(2)}^*(N, \mathcal{P})$ the completion as a Hilbert $C^* \Gamma$ -module.

Set $d^{sig} := d\tau + \tau d$. Here τ is the chirality operator, which agrees with the Hodge star operator in the normalisation of [BGV, Def. 3.57].

Let $\dim N = 2m - 1$. We assume that the closure of $d : \Omega^{m-1}(N, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Omega_{(2)}^m(N, \mathcal{P})$ has closed range. This assumption is independent of the Riemannian metric.

It follows that there is a canonical trivializing operator A_N , see [W3]. (In fact one can use any of the symmetric boundary conditions introduced in [LP5].) Define

$$\rho_c^{max}(N) := c(\eta(d^{sig}, A_N)) .$$

We leave it to the reader to show that the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer ρ -invariant and the L^2 - ρ -invariant of Cheeger-Gromov are special cases (under the assumption).

The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for the cylinder implies that $\rho_c^{max}(N)$ does not depend on the Riemannian metric since the twisted signature class $\sigma(Z, \mathcal{P}_Z)$ (see [W3] for the terminology) vanishes.

Theorem 9.4 implies:

Proposition 12.4. *Assume that N is the boundary of a manifold M and let $\sigma(M, \mathcal{P}_M) \in K_0(C^* \Gamma)$ be the twisted signature class. If $c(\text{ch}(\sigma(M, \mathcal{P}_M))) = 0$, then $\rho_c^{max}(N) = 0$.*

From the product formula for η -forms and the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem one gets in analogy to [PS, Theorem 7.1]:

Proposition 12.5. *Let Γ be torsion-free. Assume that the assembly map $\mu : K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C^* \Gamma)$ is an isomorphism. Then $\rho_c^{max}(N) = 0$.*

It would be interesting to find a connection to the L -theoretic higher ρ -invariants introduced in [We].

13. APPENDIX: OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES

13.1. Projective systems and resolvent sets. In the following let M be a σ -finite measure space such that $L^2(M)$ is separable. Choose an orthonormal basis of $L^2(M)$ and let P_m the projection onto the span of the first m vectors.

Proposition 13.1. *Let $k \in L^2(M \times M) \otimes_\pi M_n(\mathcal{A}_i)$ and let K be the corresponding Hilbert Schmidt operator on $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i^n)$. If $1 - K$ is invertible in $B(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n))$, then it is invertible in $B(L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n))$ as well.*

Proof. We adapt a method from [Lo3, §6].

It is enough to find a decomposition $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n) = X \oplus Y$ such that if we write

$$1 - K = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition, then d is invertible and $a - bd^{-1}c$ is invertible. Then the assertion follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bd^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a - bd^{-1}c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d^{-1}c & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Acting with respect to one variable on $L^2(M \times M) \otimes_\pi M_n(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$, the operator P_m converges strongly to the identity for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for m big enough $\|(1 - P_m)K(1 - P_m)\| < \frac{1}{2}$ in $B(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n))$ and in $B(L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n))$. Then d , defined as above with respect to the decomposition

$$L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n) = P_m L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n) \oplus (1 - P_m) L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n),$$

is invertible.

The assumption implies that $a - bd^{-1}c$ is invertible on $P_m(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n))$. Since this is finitely generated free $\mathcal{A}$ -module of rank mn and $M_{mn}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus in $M_{mn}(\mathcal{A})$, the map $a - bd^{-1}c$ is invertible as well on $P_m L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i^n)$. $\square$

Note that if M is a closed manifold, then $C^\infty(M \times M, M_n(\mathcal{A}_i)) \subset L^2(M \times M) \otimes_\pi M_n(\mathcal{A}_i)$. If M is a manifold with cylindric ends, then $\mathcal{S}(M \times M, M_n(\mathcal{A}_i)) \subset L^2(M \times M) \otimes_\pi M_n(\mathcal{A}_i)$.

Proposition 13.2. *Let $P \in B(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n))$ be a projection onto a projective submodule of $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n)$. Then P is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator of the form $P = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j h_j^*$ with $f_j, h_j \in \text{Ran } P$.*

Proof. Since P is compact, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P(P_m - 1)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then (see [W1, Prop. 5.1.21]) the map $PP_m P : \text{Ran } P \rightarrow \text{Ran } P$ is an isomorphism.

It follows that $\text{Ker } PP_m P = \text{Ker } P$ and therefore

$$P = 1 - P_{\text{Ker } PP_m P},$$

where $P_{\text{Ker } PP_m P}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Ker } PP_m P$.

We can find $r > 0$ such that $B_r(0) \setminus \{0\}$ is in the resolvent set of $PP_m P$.

The assertion follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= 1 - P_{\text{Ker } PP_mP} \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r} (\lambda - PP_mP)^{-1} d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=r} (\lambda^{-1} - (\lambda - PP_mP)^{-1}) d\lambda \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} PP_mP \int_{|\lambda|=r} \lambda^{-1} (\lambda - PP_mP)^{-1} d\lambda .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall from [W1, §5.2] that there are well-behaved notions of trace class operators and Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i^n)$ if (for example) M is a complete Riemannian manifold.

Proposition 13.3. *Let $P \in B(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n))$ be a projection onto a projective submodule of $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}^n)$. Assume further that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it restricts to a bounded projection on $L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i^n)$ and that $P(L^2(M)) \subset L^2(M) \otimes_\pi \mathcal{A}_i^n$. Let*

$$\text{Ran}_\infty P := \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(L^2(M, \mathcal{A}_i^n)) .$$

Then:

- (1) *The projection P is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator of the form $P = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j h_j^*$ with $f_j, h_j \in \text{Ran}_\infty P \cap (L^2(M) \otimes_\pi \mathcal{A}_i^n)$.*
- (2) *The intersection $\text{Ran}_\infty P$ is a projective $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ -module. The classes $[\text{Ran } P] \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $[\text{Ran}_\infty P] \in K_0(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ correspond to each other under the canonical isomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$.*

Now assume that M is a complete Riemannian manifold.

Then

$$\text{ch}[\text{Ran}_\infty P] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \text{Tr}(P \, \text{d} P \, \text{d} P)^j \in H_*^{\mathcal{T}\infty}(\mathcal{A}_\infty) .$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of [W1, Prop. 5.3.6]. The condition that M is a complete Riemannian manifold is needed here to ensure that $(P \, \text{d} P \, \text{d} P)^j$ is a trace class operator. □

13.2. Parameter dependent parametrices. The following results generalize results from [Lo3, §6].

Let $M, \mathcal{E}$ be as in §2.

First we make a general observation: If B is a bounded operator on the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$, then by restriction and $\hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{A}_i$ -linear extension one gets an unbounded (not necessarily densely defined) operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

We will also use that there is a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} (\mathcal{E}_i)^*)$ to the Banach algebra of bounded operators on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ mapping integral kernels to the corresponding integral operators. The image of the map is in the space

of bounded operators from $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology.

Let I be an interval. Let $(D(r))_{r \in I}$ be a family of regular operators on the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$. We assume that the following conditions hold for each $r \in I$:

- (1) The space $\mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$ is a core for $D(r)$. Furthermore $D(r)$ and its derivative with respect to r are well-defined and continuous as operators from $\mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $L^2(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$ and extend to continuous operators from $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ to $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.
- (2) The operator $D(r)$ preserves the space $\mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$ and $(r \mapsto D(r)f) \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_i))$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(M, \mathcal{E}_i)$.
- (3) Analogous properties hold for $D(r)^*$ as well.

The closure of $D(r)$ on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ is denoted by $D(r)$ as well.

If M is closed the main examples come from elliptic pseudodifferential operators, and for general M from appropriate generalizations.

Definition 13.4. Let $(Q(r))_{r \in I}$ be a family of bounded operators on $L^2(M, \mathcal{E})$, which is of class C^1 (with respect to the operator norm). Assume that it also defines a family of bounded operator of class C^1 on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and that $Q(r)$ and its derivative restrict to continuous operators on $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ such that $(r \mapsto Q(r)f) \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

We call $Q(r)$ a parameter dependent regular left parametrix for $D(r)$ if $Q(r)D(r) - 1$ is an integral operator whose integral kernel is in $C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$.

Proposition 13.5. Assume that $D(r)$ has a parameter dependent regular left parametrix $Q(r)$ and that $D(r)$ has an inverse in $B(L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$ for each r depending continuously on r . Furthermore we assume that the adjoint $D(r)^*$ has a parameter dependent regular left parametrix.

Then it holds:

- (1) The operator $D(r)$ has a bounded inverse on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, which is of class C^1 in r .
- (2) The operator $D(r) - Q(r)$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$.
- (3) In particular $D(r)^{-1}$ and its derivative act continuously on $\mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ and $(r \mapsto D(r)^{-1}) \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$.

Proof. Note that $(D(r))_{r \in I}$ defines a regular invertible operator on the Hilbert $C(I, \mathcal{A})$ -module $C(I, L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$, which for brevity we also denote by $D(r)$ in the following.

First we modify $Q(r)$ such that $Q(r)D(r)$ is invertible for each r : Since $1 - Q(r)D(r)$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C(I, \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$ and $D(r)^*$ is invertible on the Hilbert $C(I, \mathcal{A})$ -module $C(I, L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$, there is an integral operator $S(r)$ with integral kernel in $C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$ such that in $B(C(I, L^2(M, \mathcal{E})))$

$$\|(1 - Q(r)D(r)) - S(r)D(r)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $Q'(r) := Q(r) + S(r)$ is a regular left parametrix of $D(r)$ as well. Set $K(r) := Q'(r)D(r) - 1$. By the estimate $1 - K(r)$ has an inverse in $B(L^2(M, \mathcal{E}))$ for each $r \in I$. By Prop. 13.1 this implies that the operator $1 - K(r)$ is invertible on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ for each r . Since $1 - K(r)$ is of class C^1 as a bounded operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$, its inverse is also of class C^1 in r . Hence $D(r)^{-1} = (1 - K(r))^{-1} Q'(r)$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i)$ that is of class C^1 in r . From

$$D(r)^{-1} - Q(r) = S(r) + K(r)D(r)^{-1}$$

and the fact that $K(r)$ maps $C^1(I, L^2(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ continuously to $C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M, \hat{\Omega}_{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}_i))$ one gets (3). By applying (3) to the adjoint $D(r)^*$ we conclude that $K(r)D(r)^{-1}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel in $C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(M \times M, \mathcal{E}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_i^*))$. Then the previous equation implies (2). $\square$

13.3. Holomorphic semigroups. In the following we collect some facts about holomorphic semigroups for convenience of the reader. Definitions and proofs can be found in [D] (note however that we do not assume a holomorphic semigroup to be bounded), see also [W1, §5.4] for more details as needed here.

Let V be a Banach space.

Proposition 13.6. *Let Z be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup such that $\text{Ran } e^{tZ} \subset \text{dom } Z$ for all $t > 0$. The semigroup extends to a holomorphic one if and only if for t small*

$$\|Ze^{tZ}\| \leq Ct^{-1}.$$

In the following let Z be a generator of a holomorphic semigroup e^{tZ} on V .

The following two propositions describe the connection between spectrum of the generator and the behaviour of the semigroup for $t \rightarrow \infty$:

Proposition 13.7. *Assume that there is $\delta > 0$ such that the resolvent $(Z - \lambda)^{-1}$ exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } \lambda > -\delta$.*

Then e^{tZ} is bounded.

Proposition 13.8. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C > 0$ with $\|e^{tZ}\| \leq Ce^{-(\omega - \varepsilon)t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. If $\text{Re } \lambda > \omega$, then for $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(\lambda - Z)^{-k} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} e^{t(Z-\lambda)} dt.$$

The spectrum of the semigroup itself and its behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$ are also related to each other:

Proposition 13.9. *Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that the spectral radius of e^{tZ} is smaller than or equal to e^{rt} for all $t > 0$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|e^{tZ}\| \leq Ce^{(r+\varepsilon)t}.$$

The following proposition addresses the question when a perturbation of a generator is again a generator.

Proposition 13.10. *Let B be a closed operator on V such that Be^{tZ} is well-defined and bounded for all $t > 0$ and such that there is $C > 0$ with*

$$\|Be^{tZ}\| \leq Ct^{-1/2}$$

for $0 < t < 1$.

Then $Z + B$ generates a holomorphic semigroup.

We recall Duhamel's principle:

Proposition 13.11. *Let Z be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on V and let $u \in C^1([0, \infty), V)$ be such that $u(t) \in \text{dom } Z$ and $(\frac{d}{dt} - Z)u(t) \in \text{dom } Z$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then*

$$e^{tZ}u(0) - u(t) = - \int_0^t e^{sZ}(\frac{d}{dt} - Z)u(t-s) ds .$$

From Duhamel's principle we derive Duhamel's formula as needed here:

Proposition 13.12. *Let Z be the generator of a holomorphic semigroup on V . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $(B(r))_{r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}$ be a family of closed operators with $B(0) = 0$ such that $B(r)e^{tZ}$ is well-defined and bounded for all $t > 0$ and $r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ and depends continuously on r . We assume that $\frac{d}{dr}(B(r)e^{tZ})|_{r=0}$ exists for all $t > 0$ and that there is $C > 0$ such that for $r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \setminus \{0\}$ and $t < 1$*

$$\|\frac{1}{r}B(r)e^{tZ}\| \leq Ct^{-1/2} .$$

Furthermore let the set of all $f \in \text{dom } Z$ such that $B(r)e^{tZ}f \in \text{dom } Z$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ be dense in V .

Then

$$\frac{d}{dr}e^{t(Z+B(r))}|_{r=0} = \int_0^t e^{sZ} \frac{d}{dr}B(r)e^{(t-s)Z}|_{r=0} ds .$$

Note that the right hand side is well-defined as a bounded operator, whereas the existence of the left hand side is part of the assertion.

Proof. We write B for the operator induced by the family $(B(r))_{r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}$ on $C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], V)$ and consider Z also as an operator on $C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], V)$ in the obvious way. Thus $\text{dom } Z \subset C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], V)$ in the following. Note that $\text{dom}(Z + B) = \text{dom } Z$. By the estimate and the previous proposition $Z + B$ generates a holomorphic semigroup on $C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], V)$.

If $f \in C([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], V)$ such that $f \in \text{dom } Z$ and $Be^{tZ}f \in \text{dom } Z$ for all $t \geq 0$, then $e^{tZ}f \in \text{dom } Z$ and $(\frac{d}{dt} - Z - B)e^{tZ}f = -Be^{tZ}f \in \text{dom } Z$ for all $t \geq 0$. Duhamel's principle implies that

$$e^{t(Z+B)}f - e^{tZ}f = \int_0^t e^{s(Z+B)}Be^{(t-s)Z}f ds .$$

Using Lebesgue Lemma we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r}(e^{t(Z+B(r))} - e^{tZ}) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^t e^{s(Z+B(r))}B(r)e^{(t-s)Z} ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{sZ} \frac{d}{dr}B(r)e^{(t-s)Z}|_{r=0} ds . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion. □

REFERENCES

- [BGV] N. Berline & E. Getzler & M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators* (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 298), Springer, 1996
- [BC] J.-M. Bismut & J. Cheeger, “ η -Invariants and Their Adiabatic Limits”, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 2 (1989), pp. 33–70
- [B] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras* (MSRI Publications 5), Springer, 1986
- [Bu] U. Bunke, *Index theory, Eta forms and Deligne Cohomology*, preprint math.DG/02011112 on arXiv, to appear in *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*
- [BK] U. Bunke & H. Koch, “The η -form and a generalized Maslov index”, *manuscripta math.* 95 (1998), pp. 189–212
- [CM] A. Connes & H. Moscovici, “Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups”, *Topology* 29 (1990), no. 3, pp. 345–388
- [D] E. B. Davies, *One-Parameter Semigroups* (LMS Monographs 15), Academic Press, 1980
- [K] M. Karoubi, “Homologie cyclique et K -théorie”, *Astérisque* 149 (1987)
- [LP1] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “The b -pseudodifferential calculus on Galois coverings and a higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem”, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.* 68 (1997)
- [LP2] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “Spectral sections and higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theory on Galois coverings”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 8 (1998), pp. 17–58
- [LP3] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “Homotopy invariance of twisted higher signatures on manifolds with boundary”, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 127 (1999), pp. 307–331
- [LP4] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “On higher eta-invariants and metrics of positive scalar curvature” *K-Theory* 24 (2001), pp. 341–359
- [LP5] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “Dirac index classes and the noncommutative spectral flow” *J. Funct. Anal.* 200 (2003), pp. 348–400.
- [LP6] E. Leichtnam & P. Piazza, “Étale groupoids, eta invariants and index theory” *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 587 (2005), pp. 169–233
- [Lo1] J. Lott, “Higher eta-invariants”, *K-Theory* 6 (1992), pp. 191–233
- [Lo2] J. Lott, “Superconnections and higher index theory”, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 2 (1992), pp. 421–454
- [Lo3] J. Lott, “Diffeomorphisms and Noncommutative Analytic Torsion”, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 673 (1999)
- [Ma] A. Mallios, *Topological Algebras* (Mathematics Studies 124), North-Holland, 1986
- [MP1] R. B. Melrose & P. Piazza, “Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b -calculus” *J. Diff. Geom.* 46 (1997), pp. 99–180
- [MP2] R. B. Melrose & P. Piazza, “An index theorem for families of Dirac operators on odd-dimensional manifolds with boundary” *J. Diff. Geom.* 46 (1997), pp. 287–334
- [Mü1] W. Müller, “Eta-invariants and manifolds with boundary”, *J. Diff. Geom.* 40 (1994), pp. 311–377
- [Mü2] W. Müller, “On the L^2 -index of Dirac operators on manifolds with corners of codimension two. I”, *J. Diff. Geom.* 44 (1996), pp. 97–177
- [PS] P. Piazza & T. Schick, “Bordism, rho-invariants and the Baum-Connes conjecture”, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 1 (2007), pp. 27–111
- [W1] C. Wahl, “Noncommutative Maslov Index and Eta Forms”, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 887 (2007)
- [W2] C. Wahl, *Homological index formulas for elliptic operators over C^* -algebras*, preprint math.KT/0603694v2 on arXiv (2009)
- [W3] C. Wahl, *Product formula for Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index classes and higher signatures*, preprint arXiv:0081.0091
- [We] S. Weinberger, “Higher ρ -invariants”, Tel Aviv topology conference: Rothenberg Festschrift, *Contemp. Math.* 231 (1999), pp. 315–320

LEIBNIZ-FORSCHUNGSSTELLE
DER GÖTTINGER AKADEMIE DER WISSENSCHAFTEN

WATERLOOSTR. 8
30169 HANNOVER
GERMANY

WAHLCHARLOTTE@GOOGLEMAIL.COM